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LETTERS

RECORD's new design: The good...

Heartiest congratulations on the new look of ARCHITECTURAL RECORD. From the moment I saw the cover with its glossy stock, I knew something was in the wind.

I like the new format very much, and this issue is particularly exciting. Although I had no problems with the earlier look, I admire the readability and the friendliness of the print, the manner in which projects are presented in depth rather than in snippets, the quality of the color, and the bright department headings. RECORD is unlike any other architectural publication. Furthermore, it is a reflection of your respect for quality and design principles.

The new decade seems to have called forth an outpouring of looking into the future with predictions of all kinds. So long as we can count on your commitment to quality and the need to articulate what is best about our profession, the future will take care of itself.

ROBERT D. KLEINSCHMIDT
POWELL/KLEINSCHMIDT INTERIOR ARCHITECTURE
Chicago

As you probably know, we get our RECORD later than most. As a result, this letter is probably reaching you later than the other fan letters about the new format that have undoubtedly been cascading over your desk.

In brief, the new design is terrific. The magazine is lively and dense. The content is really interesting and apropos and extremely well organized. Congratulations.

HERBERT MCLAUGHLIN
KAPLAN-MCLAUGHLIN-DIAZ
San Francisco

Congratulations! Your newly designed January issue looks great. Clean, concise, very readable. Congratulations to all who had a hand in creating the new look. I like it.

GENE WEYENETH
Hilton Head, South Carolina

Mr. Weyeneth was formerly the publisher of ARCHITECTURAL RECORD.

I want to congratulate you on the launching of ARCHITECTURAL RECORD's new format and express my pleasure at seeing my photos figure so prominently in your handsome new layouts.

I am also thrilled with the marked improvement in the quality of photo reproduction and am reminded of a desire to visit your printer so that I might enhance my technique.

PAUL WARCHOL
Photographer
New York City

Your new logo is terrific, a lot better than that awful looking one you had just prior to the redesign, with the word "Architectural" trapped in its little box.

LARRY W. YOTER, LIBRARIAN
THE GROM HAYES LIBRARY
HARTFORD STATE TECHNICAL COLLEGE
STATE OF CONNECTICUT
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

...and the less than perfect

I am a graphic designer and have been an avid reader of your publication for years. I have always followed the architectural world with great interest, and ARCHITECTURAL RECORD has had a unique collection of good editorial writing, lush photography, and sophisticated design.

I was therefore both surprised and disturbed to see the new design. Although I am by no means a purist towards the format of Massimo Vignelli, he did give the magazine an elegant, distinctive look that fit the subject matter. The new look is, in contrast, utterly without style and distinction.

The Design News section is particularly disappointing—there is a bland sameness to the pages that recalls an in-house company newsletter. There is no scale, balance, or variety throughout the publication, and the typography is clumsy.

You stated in your editorial "less elegant than before...but more friendly." Can’t it be elegant and friendly? "Less subtle, but more explicit...less consistent, but more varied and surprising." In my experience, one quality does not have to exist without the other, and the architecture you showcase proves it.

Continued on page 157
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died. "Those aren't my panels! I ordered beige!"

The carpeting was down, the walls were painted, the door bucks were stained. Important clients would be coming to see the installation in a week. Disaster.

Mike called Jane Williamson, his Steelcase rep, and she got on the phone to Dealer Services in Grand Rapids. They authorized the panel factory to do whatever was necessary to correct the rest of the order, but the 500 red-red orange panels that were already at the bank had to be fixed on-site.

On Friday, the factory flew in 1,800 yards of beige fabric. Saturday morning, three Steelcase technicians from the Athens, AL, factory arrived and met five of Waldner's installers at the bank. They set up an assembly line, ripped off the old fabric, put on the new. By Sunday evening, working around the clock, they'd completely reupholstered all 500 panels.

The bank was up and running by Wednesday. While the bank's important clients toured the new installation, Jane and Mike took the guys from Athens, who'd never been to New York City, to see the Statue of Liberty.

"Steelcase really came through in a clutch situation," Mike says. "It was an amazing job. No other manufacturer could do that, or would."

No other dealer, either.
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Coming to agreement.

Shepley Bulfinch Richardson and Abbott designed a multimillion dollar addition to a large medical facility. They specified a flooring they had used many times before for the operating rooms.

Shortly after installation, the floor began to bubble. The hospital was forced to close its operating rooms because of risk of infection, and was understandably upset at the loss of revenue. Although it was unclear what the problem was, the hospital wanted Shepley Bulfinch to side with it in a lawsuit against the contractor. The architectural firm was apprehensive that it would somehow get drawn into the suit, even though its relationship with the hospital was excellent. Shepley Bulfinch was also reluctant to line up against the contractor, since it felt the contractor had performed in a responsible manner and was actively seeking a solution to the problem.

Leo McEachern called Jim Raymond, DPIC’s Eastern claims manager, and after some discussion of the situation, Jim asked if Shepley Bulfinch would be willing to try mediating the dispute. He explained the nature of the non-binding procedure. Leo said yes, and Jim put him in touch with a mediation firm DPIC has used successfully many times.

The mediation firm worked hard to assemble the parties to the dispute: Shepley Bulfinch, the hospital, the flooring manufacturer, the flooring subcontractor, and the general contractor. They met at 10:00 one morning in the architect’s office. The mediator asked everyone to state his case individually in an open forum. He then met with each party in a private session. He suggested a settlement in which each of the parties involved contributed a proportionate sum toward the approximately $200,000 the hospital needed to remedy the problem. By 3:00 that afternoon, agreement had been reached, all parties were satisfied, and all that remained was obtaining releases from all parties. No lawsuit was ever filed.

Jim Raymond is manager of DPIC’s Eastern Division office in Clifton, New Jersey. He has over a dozen years of experience in handling professional liability claims.

Claims happen. It’s what you do when they happen that shows the stuff you’re made of.
Push and Pull for Affordable Housing

Kemp, Federal Reserve governor Martha Seger, Martin, Hedien, Whiteside, and O'Brien cutting red tape.

Leaders in the insurance, thrift, and banking fields gathered in Washington, D.C., in January for the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation's biennial leadership conference to announce, according to the invitation, "an unprecedented national initiative" to produce affordable housing. Among them: chief executive officers Preston Martin of WSGP Financial Services, Wayne Hedien of Allstate Insurance, and Robert O'Brien of Carteret Savings and Loan. The rhetoric was sweeping, the substance, inconclusive.

The initiative, described by the executives as the "Social Compact," is meant to bring increased private lending to lower-income borrowers, but there was a failure to say how much.

Future Vision?

Reminiscent of brave-new-world projections in the 1930s but far different in results, a house intended to show the way that people will live in the 21st century has been designed by Bloodgood Architects & Planners to reflect the demands of changing social and technological needs. Commissioned as part of Newsweek magazine's 1990 winter-spring special edition on the family of the future, the design foresees a plan meant to take maximum advantage of ever-more expensive land by the direct relation of internal and external uses and a central "us" room for communal activities surrounded by spaces for such individual activities as hobbies, owner privacy, and the housing of semidependent relations (e.g., grandparents or grown children). The scheme eliminates hallways. Upstairs, children will have their own computer area and bedrooms complete with individual washer-dryers. Perhaps most interesting is an exterior appearance not too different from that of houses being built today and the retention of such familiar amenities as a fireplace. Clearly, Bloodgood's visionaries foresee evolution, not revolution, as the way of the future.

National Accord on State Issues

Hammond: 'We feel positive.'

Registration for interior designers emerged as a hot topic a few years ago [Record, June 1988, pages 37-47]. And opposing camps of architects and interior designers continue to dispute issues such as the definition of interior designer and the educational requirements that must be met in order to practice in that field. The problem exists because there is no uniform system of registration for interior designers in the United States.

In December, as an attempt to establish guidelines for state regulation of interior designers, a representative from each of four groups—the American Institute of Architects, the American Society of Interior Designers, the Institute of Business Designers, and the International Society of Interior Designers—signed an accord on interior design title registration, which was announced at a press conference in Atlanta in January.

The December accord calls for creating a definition of interior designer to be agreed upon at the state level. Among other items, the accord frowns upon grandfathering, except when an individual meets strict and equivalent education, training, and testing criteria.

Although professionals with extreme viewpoints might be dissatisfied with the December accord, the majority of arch...
News continued from page 13

Two types of registration confuses many people, even designers and architects themselves. With practice registration, only the individual who meets legislative criteria may work as an interior designer. Title registration restricts only the use of the title. Conceivably, someone without the title can work as an interior designer but simply cannot call himself by that title, explained Nancy Summerville, senior director of state and local government affairs at the AIA.

The accord calls for title rather than practice registration because the former is the best way for consumers to identify qualified providers that meet specified training and educational requirements, Summerville said.

However, some architects disagree with the concept of a national accord, in part because registration is actually determined on a state-by-state basis. Both Denis Kuhn, president of the New York Chapter of the AIA, and Douglas Korves, president-elect of the New York State Association of Architects of the AIA, agree that each state will have to reach its own solution to the registration conflict. Meanwhile, AIA officials pointed out the accord is intended merely as a guideline for legislative action at the state level.

Currently, bills proposed by both camps are before the New York state legislature. It is likely that the results in New York will serve as a guideline for the remaining states, Korves predicted. Georgia is pushing for practice registration for interior designers, and other states are working on legislation.

Korves said he believes in limiting the scope of title registration for interior designers: "Title registration would be okay with an appropriate definition of interior designer that does not include [their making decisions regarding] walls, partitions, other interior construction, lighting layouts, mechanical systems, equipment, and life-safety systems. Interior designers lack the education in such subjects as statics and mathematics to make decisions in areas requiring the expertise of an engineer or architect." SUSAN BLEZNICK

A Helping Hand

Evans and Vandeberg.

"Although more women are entering the profession, we are still a minority," says Wendy Evans, an associate designer at Pei Cobb Freed & Partners. As a participant in the Clairol Mentor Program, which promotes mentoring between successful and aspiring women from a variety of career fields, Evans will serve as role model to new architect Lynn Vandeberg, sharing ideas, listening to, advising, and encouraging her over the coming year.

"Since it takes many years to achieve higher levels of responsibility, there are extremely few women" architects who have reached that point, says

Ours is the new Versatec CADmate™ electrostatic plotter. It's up to six times faster than the pen plotter on the left. And umpteen times easier to load, operate and maintain.

You even get 300 ppi laser-quality reproduction.

Because the Versatec CADmate uses your 286 or 386 PC CAD system as a print server, we're able to eliminate the need for a controller.

Which allows us to offer you, for the first time, all the advantages of an electrostatic plotter at a pen plotter price.
Evans. "It's hard to find someone to talk with about one's future and the opportunities and the hurdles. I never had a woman to discuss my career with so I look forward to having the opportunity just to get someone started."

Vandeberg, who specializes in corporate interiors at MacDonald/Casner, Providence, R.I., wrote in her award-winning essay: "The ideal mentor relationship includes two women who love architecture and are interested in bettering themselves and the profession through their combined exploration and discussion. They either recall or aspire to the other's position and respect each other for their experience, insights, and ideas."

"For me, architecture is a passion. A mentor will allow me a noncompetitive discourse that can offer insight into situations such as being viewed as the principal's assistant even though I've done most of the work. [Our discussions] can eventually create a friendship in which we could both share our love of the profession."

Previously with Eric Meng Associates, of Seattle, Vandeberg now teaches a beginning's course in interior design at the continuing education program at Rhode Island School of Design, her alma mater.

Evans, who has worked as a designer on such Pei Cobb Freed projects as the JFK Airport Redevelopment and the United States Holocaust Museum in Washington, D.C., earned her master's in architecture from Harvard in 1981. She also won the 1983 Rome Prize in Architecture.

This is the second year Clairol has sponsored the mentor program, which matches well-known, established women from 11 different fields with their professional counterparts who have written statements describing the value of a good mentor relationship. The statements are read and evaluated by each mentor, who chooses the award-winner, just as Evans chose Vandeberg's essay.

CAROLYN D. KOENIG
More Practice News on page 17

Through April 8
"Frank Lloyd Wright Drawings: Masterworks from the Frank Lloyd Wright Archives;" at the Phoenix Art Museum, Phoenix.

Through April 15
"Stanley Tigerman: Recent Projects," focusing on drawings and models of architecture designed in 1989; at the Art Institute of Chicago.

Through April 29

Through May 8
"Sir Christopher Wren and the Legacy of St. Paul's Cathedral," an exhibit including 120 drawings, models, paintings, manuscripts, and artifacts, with most of the architect's surviving drawings; at the Octagon Museum, Washington, D.C.

March 17-29

April 3 through September 2
"Color, Light, Surface: Contemporary Fabrics," showing about 150 international fabrics; at the Cooper-Hewitt Museum, the Smithsonian Institution's National Museum of Design, New York City.

May 19-22
122nd Convention of the American Institute of Architects, titled "Pushing the Limits;" in Houston. For information: AIA Convention Department, 1735 New York Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006 (202/626-7467)

June 12-15
A/E/C Systems '90, the 11th International Computer and Management Show for the Architecture/Engineering/Construction Industry, a conference and trade show focusing on computer graphics, computer-aided design, construction systems, and other technology; at Georgia World Congress Center, Atlanta. For information: Kayla Faber, Intergraph Corporation, I1W17C1, Huntsville, Ala. 35804-0601 (205/730-1315).
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More Optimism for Construction

Following two months of poor showings, new construction contracts picked up in December, according to F.W. Dodge, to bring the rate for the year about even with that for 1988—an encouraging result for a period in which gloom had dominated the forecasts. Nonresidential construction's December advance was 4 percent and residential's, 1 percent.

The regional variation was marked. The North Central states were up 5 percent for the year and the Western, 1 percent. The South Central states fell 1 percent, but, points out Dodge vice president and chief economist George Christie, the modest size of the decline, after six years of mostly greater ones, "suggests that the oil patch could be stabilizing." The South Atlantic states, on the other hand, experienced their first decline since the early 1980s—2 percent. The Northeast fell by 3 percent, but, for those interested in larger-scale construction, this figure is skewed by a double-digit decline in housing.

For other types of construction in the Northeast, there was optimism in, e.g., Boston on the future of even that recent struggler, offices. A survey conducted by brokers Codman Associates reported a 2-percent decline of the city's vacancy rate in 1989 to 10.6 percent (among the nation's lowest) and a 40-percent improvement in absorption. The conclusion? Near-term demand for new office space in and around the city. In Los Angeles, the Building Owners Management Association reported similar conditions. Still, it is clear that most areas of the country will have to wait to see any new big surge in office construction.

C. K. H.

S&L Crisis Shadows NAHB Show

Builders at the 46th annual National Association of Home Builders convention in Atlanta this January had a lot to talk about, with President George Bush and Secretary of Housing and Urban Development Jack Kemp making visits and more than 1,000 exhibitors setting up shop on the floor of the Georgia World Congress Center. The most pressing topic of conversation and concern, though, was the ongoing crisis in the savings and loan industry, in particular recent changes in regulations governing lending practices.

The so-called 15-percent rule, which limits the percentage of their capital that thrifts can lend to any single borrower, has raised a storm of protest from large builders. In the past, these builders regularly borrowed amounts much greater than the percentage allowed today. The rule change, instituted by Congress at the end of last year, is wreaking havoc with builders trying to roll over large construction loans needed to keep projects going. "Over time, commercial banks and other financial sources will pick up the slack," says Jay Shackford, staff vice president for public affairs at NAHB. "But right now it's a very disorienting time."

NAHB is lobbying Congress to grandfather projects that obtained financing before the rule change was made. "It wasn't Congress's intent to disrupt the building industry," says Shackford, but that is exactly what is happening right now.

About 65,000 people attended the show, down 1,000 from last year. A small drop in attendance is not unusual for the second year of the convention's three-year run in a particular city. The show will remain in Atlanta next year (its third year at the same site), then move to Las Vegas for three years.

Bush, who became the first sitting President to address the convention, spoke of home-ownership, housing affordability, and home-building. Instead of proposing much in the way of new programs to address these issues, the President explained, "there's one housing policy and one sales strategy that's better than all others combined—a healthy, growing economy with low, long-term interest rates." Maintaining a fiscal approach to housing policy, he emphasized the need for a capital-gains-tax cut to spur housing starts. And instead of using some of the savings from defense cuts (the so-called "peace dividend") for housing programs, the President said, "cutting the federal budget deficit would be a true dividend for America's taxpayers."

The President's appearance and that of Secretary Kemp, though, may signal a new attitude in Washington toward housing. "We see this Administration as more sensitive to housing issues than the Reagan Administration," states Shackford. Such concern could also help push a comprehensive housing bill through Congress, something that may happen this year.

After a record number of boom years for home builders in the 1980s, recession, or at least a severely sluggish economy, seems to be stalking builders.

C. A. P.

Boston looking up?

Houston Braces for AIA

"Pushing the Limits" (logo) will be the theme of the 122nd annual AIA convention to be held in Houston May 19-22. "That's what the architects of Houston have been doing through their buildings and in making a comeback from a locally depressed market," says current president Sylvester Damianos, although construction there is down for the seventh consecutive year (More Optimism for Construction, left). "The convention will be pushing the limits of education for the architect," says the convention's chairman James Brown—a tall order for a four-day program. Nonetheless, the convention schedule does offer a full menu of varied educational programs covering both the business of architecture and the art. In the main speeches, PBS commentator James Burke will look forward to new technology, Washington Post writer Joel Garreau will talk about "edge cities" (those new urban areas springing up around the old ones), and architect Michael Rand of Morphosis will give his views on future directions of design. For more information, contact the AIA at 1735 New York Ave. N.W., Washington, D.C., 20006. Phone (202) 626-7300.

NAHB: thongs belie gloom.

NAHB is projecting roughly the same number of housing starts in 1990 as 1989 (1.38 million), with single-family starts creeping up a bit (to a little over 1 million) and multifamily falling (to 380,000). The drop in multifamily-housing starts is the continuation of a trend that began in the mid-1980s when tax-law changes eliminated much investment in this sector. In 1985, for example, construction began on 670,000 multifamily units, nearly double the figure for 1989.

A general sense of impending hard times was reflected in the titles of seminars offered at the convention, including "If you stay in this business long enough, you'll go broke," "A dozen ways to fail in development," and "Savings and loan reform—a survival guide for builders."

Taking over the helm as president of NAHB will be Martin Perlman, a builder from Houston. Perlman succeeds Shirley McVay Wiseman, who served as the organization's first woman president last year.
When architects specified the roofing systems for Ohio State University's $43 million Wexner Center for Visual Arts, they made a smart decision. They specified Goodyear's Versigard® single-ply roofing system.

And for good reasons. Versigard roofing systems are made from the highest quality elastomeric materials. Available in black and white, they're resistant to wind, rain, hail and temperature extremes. Goodyear Authorized Versigard Roofing Contractors are trained in the proper, warranted installation of Versigard roofing systems. And Goodyear Field Sales Engineers provide the technical expertise architects can rely on from estimate through final inspection.

It adds up. A complete package of quality product, installation and expertise that ensures the job is done right the first time. Even one as demanding as the 108,750 square foot Wexner Center.

Granted, a Versigard roofing system was just one detail in the overall design of Progressive Architecture's 1989 "Building of the Year." But in your line of work, it's the details that make the difference between a good design and an award-winner.

Call 1-800-992-7663. In Ohio, call 1-800-231-5867.
Up in Central Park on the Shore of Harlem Meer

For the last ten years and more, the powers that be in Central Park have bent their efforts to the restoration of Olmsted and Vaux's masterpiece in Manhattan. At the beginning, work was more or less concentrated on the park's southern areas, where both buildings and landscape had suffered considerably from heavy use. Now, though, the privately funded Central Park Conservancy and the public Central Park Administration have shifted their attention to the wilder, even more romantic topography of the park's rather lightly used northern reaches. Moreover, for the first time in years, plans for the upper part include major new building.

New York architects Buttrick White & Burtis have designed a three-building complex for the shore of Harlem Meer, a lake just inside the park's upper boundary. The buildings will include (from left to right in rendering) a boat-rental kiosk, a discovery center/education facility, and a restaurant building to include an 80-seat cafeteria, a 186-seat restaurant, and a 120-seat catering hall. The building will offer outdoor eating spaces in a landscaped courtyard next to the discovery center and on a veranda overlooking the Meer. The central courtyard is also meant to serve as a public gathering place and a gateway to the park.

Although the buildings will replace a decrepit construction from the 1930s, the designers drew on an earlier style for inspiration: the turreted and dor- mered Victorian buildings that typify the park's 19th-century structures. As with the older buildings, materials will include bluestone bases, brick walls, wood windows, metal ornament, and slate roofs.

Buttrick, White & Burtis has also been commissioned to design a new tennis pavilion for another section of the park.

Show Houses for Busy Lifestyles

What does a woman want? Answering that classic question was the goal Family Circle magazine set for itself in creating its "Busy Woman's Dream House" for the 46th annual National Association of Home Builders convention in Atlanta in January. Using responses from 900 of its women readers, the magazine built a house with extra storage space, a combined family room/kitchen, and a second-story retreat. The 2,500-square-foot house (photo below) was also designed and built by women—Jane Siris of Siris/Coombs Architects and Marilyn Turnipseed, head of CareCraft Homes. Now if only they could figure out what men want!

Also built for the show were a 4,000-square-foot plantation home designed by William E. Poole Designs for Southern Living magazine and a modular house designed by Berkus Group Architects for Professional Builder magazine.

For the seventh year, Builder and Home magazines teamed up to create their own show house, The New American Home. Geared for a young professional couple with one or two children, the 2,500-square-foot house replaces the conventional living room/dining room/kitchen layout with one that features a central family room and a two-story kitchen, as well as a small parlor and dining room. The house was designed by Claude Miquelle Associates and built by Manchester Properties. C. A. P.
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Briefs

Lewis Mumford died at the age of 94 on January 26 at his home in Amenia, New York. Though remembered chiefly as an architectural critic in his articles for The New Yorker and Architectural Record, Mumford preferred to be known as a social philosopher, and his book The Culture of Cities and other writings had profound influence on the thinking of practitioners and users of urban planning.

The 1990 Architectural Firm Award has been awarded to Kohn Pedersen Fox Associates of New York City. The award is given annually by the American Institute of Architects to recognize a firm that has consistently produced “distinguished architecture” for at least 10 years.

The AIA Library offers copies of articles listed in The Construction Index. The articles, which are drawn from 37 American architectural and construction publications, are classified according to the Construction Specification Institute’s Masterformat. The cost of the service will be $5 to AIA members, $10 to nonmembers. For information: AIA Library, 1735 New York Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006 (202/626-7492).

Never lose heart. Though Craig Ellwood’s design for the Art Center College of Design in Pasadena, California, has been on hold since the early 1970s, ground has been broken at last for construction of the original design. James Tyler, who was Ellwood’s project architect at the time, prepared working drawings for the first phase.

Architectural commissions: Elberbe Becket, New York City, and Dunlop-Farrow, Toronto, have jointly won a competition to design a high-rise office complex for Canadian National/Royal Trust in Toronto; Tod Williams/Billie Tsien, New York City, in association with Lescher and Mahoney, Phoenix, will design a major expansion of the Phoenix Art Museum and Phoenix Little Theater; Lord, Aeck & Sargent, Atlanta, has received the architectural commission for the Aaron Diamond AIDS Research Center in New York City, a joint project of the city, New York University, and the Public Health Research Institute.

A Mixture of Old and New Building on Hollywood Boulevard

Architect David M. Schwartz, who made something of a name for himself designing new buildings to fit sympathetically within the historical ambiance of Washington, D.C., now proposes to do the same for an equally storied place on the West Coast: Hollywood.

Hollywood Plaza, a mixed-use complex to be built along Hollywood Boulevard (at bottom of site plan), will offer retail spaces, offices, a health club, cinemas, and the Hollywood Wax Museum on the first two floors and apartments on the upper floors. The project will include a parking garage below grade and possibly a Metro portal.

Located in the midst of the Hollywood Boulevard Historic District, the buildings must reconcile what some might consider the irreconcilable. The site, which occupies an entire block, contains five separate landmarked buildings on the boulevard, and the project will add 15 interspersed new buildings. The historic buildings, which include the towered Security Pacific building at one corner (rendering above), will receive extensive and expensive rehabilitation, while the new buildings will have similar punched windows and articulated walls. In keeping with the sort of intellectual honesty practiced by museum curators, Schwartz took particular care to differentiate old and new. However sympathetic the new designs, the viewer should run no danger of confusing their provenance.

The development will include a number of public and private green spaces. At the center of the complex, a large plaza will be accessible to the public via wide landscaped walks from three of the surrounding streets. Tenants will have three separate raised plazas enclosed by apartments on three corners.

On Battle Creek

Despite being one of the largest of the large foundations, the W.K. Kellogg Foundation has its headquarters in a Midwestern small town: Battle Creek, Michigan. And in addition to its concern for health, education, agriculture, and the developing world, the foundation has an intense loyalty to its hometown. For its new building, and with the town’s approval, it assembled a 16-acre site downtown, razed some undistinguished and empty buildings, and helped what occupants there were to relocate in a new merchandising complex—all in the hope of catalyzing further development in Battle Creek. The foundation will encourage the construction of housing and other neighborhood buildings on the other side of the creek (at top of model).

Architects Luckenbach/Ziegelman & Partners Inc. sited the foundation’s building on a prominent corner of Battle Creek’s downtown and on a bend of the eponymous stream. The low-rise massing is meant to harmonize the building’s size with the residential scale around it, while the small, intimate scale of the offices emphasizes the friendly interaction of staff members.

The brick and limestone building and its adjacent parking garage will accommodate about 325 workers. Because the foundation plans to continue enlarging its staff, the building can be extended along the street.
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Competition Calendar

"A Choragic Monument to Twentieth Century Architecture" is the subject of a national architectural competition sponsored by Olympia & York and presented by the New York Chapter, AIA. Entries must be computer drawings, with or without hand embellishment, or hand-drawings with computer embellishment, and will be judged for concept and execution. Winners will receive prizes of $3,000, $2,000, and $1,000. The registration deadline is March 30, the entry deadline June 29. For information: NYC/AIA, 457 Madison Ave., New York, New York 10022.

To replace a gas station in Portland, Maine, the C.N. Brown Company sponsors the Gateway Gas Design Competition, which seeks ideas and concepts and offers a $2,500 first prize and three merit awards of $1,000 each. Registration, due by March 30, requires a $25 fee; submissions are due April 23. For information: Theo Holtwijk, Stevens Morton Rose & Thompson, Inc., P.O. Box 618, Portland, Maine 04104.

The NAMES Project Foundation and Trinity United Methodist Church of San Francisco are holding a competition, open to anyone, for a permanent home for the AIDS Memorial Quilt, to be built "on a prominent site in San Francisco." The registration deadline is April 2, and submissions are due June 7. For information: Jonathan Pearlman, Competition Advisor, 2338 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94114 (415/626-0831).

The 100th/442nd/MIS World War II Memorial Foundation is conducting a design competition for a memorial to be built on First Street Plaza, a mixed-use development now under construction on a site near Los Angeles' Little Tokyo and adjoining a new Japanese American National Museum. The memorial will honor Japanese-American veterans of World War II. Submissions are due April 9. For information: 100th/442nd/MIS World War II Memorial Design Competition, 1888 Century Park East, Suite 330, Los Angeles, California 90067.

Convention Center with Built-In Railroad

In a move intended to revive its beleaguered economy, Atlantic City is planning a new convention center. Located adjacent to the Amtrak rail terminal completed last year, the new center will be used primarily for trade shows and professional society meetings; the New Jersey casino-gambling resort's celebrated existing convention center on the Boardwalk will remain the home of the Miss America pageant and other shows, explained Gil Rosenthal, of the Philadelphia firm Wallace Roberts & Todd, architect of the proposed facility.

The new center occupies 1.9 million square feet and features a 500,000-square-foot rectangular exhibit hall, parking for 1,600 cars, 40 meeting rooms, and several restaurants. Interconnecting atriums will link the exhibit hall and rail terminal with a planned 800-room hotel, which will be built by an as-yet unnamed private developer. Rosenthal described the terminal as a "bright, airy space" that affords views down the railroad platforms and of the Boardwalk and the Atlantic Ocean. Addressing the building's location on a flood plain, the architects placed the convention center's headhouse atop a columnar frame, allowing cars and pedestrians to pass under it. A skylit indoor street and triangular public plaza occupy the open space between the headhouse and the exhibit hall. The center will be sheathed in bands of ground-faced and split-faced concrete block.

Wallace Roberts & Todd was originally commissioned as master planner for the project, and later was asked to design the convention center and rail terminal. The combined complex is designed to accommodate 30,000 people daily and is scheduled to be completed in the fall of 1992.

SUSAN BLEZNICK

A Return to the Glory Days of Moviedom

The restoration and adaptation of beloved landmarks, even when they are intended for their original purpose, is a test of patience. Richard J. Heisenbottle Architects and Craig Stark Associates reviewed this lesson with the two-phase design of the Gusman Center for the Performing Arts in Miami.

The new facility will occupy the former Olympia Theater, designed for Paramount in 1926 by architect John Eberson and thought to be one of only two "atmospheric" theaters remaining in Florida—a romantic, proto-Disneyland architectural fantasy from cinema's early days. Moreover, the Olympia was the first movie house in the South to be air-conditioned, and it has been placed on the National Register of Historic Landmarks.

Built in the Mediterranean Revival style, the building's exterior is brick with terra-cotta and metal ornament, while the interior mimics a Spanish courtyard encompassed by a balconied villa and overarched by a starry sky.

In the first phase, already complete, one of the architects' primary moves was to dismantle ill-advised renovations to reveal what was left of the original, and when necessary to replace accurately tile, plaster work, wrought iron, and windows.

Most important, to raise the theater to the operational standard expected of an up-to-date facility, a state-of-the-art computerized theatrical lighting system replaces one that Heisenbottle characterizes as "so archaic that there were no replacement parts available."

The second phase of reconstruction, now underway, calls for really serious, and painstaking, restoration of paint, ceramics, stucco, and French doors. The most dramatic restoration will undoubtedly be the elaborate ceiling painting.

In addition, the original entrance, marquee, and poster boxes will be reconstructed, and the interior will receive new lighting, carpeting, and tapestries. A new acoustic shell will be installed on stage.

Gusman Center's first phase cost $1.4 million, and the cost of the second phase is estimated at $2 million.
The American Institute of Architects this year conferred 19 Honor Awards, its highest recognition of design excellence. The awards were given at the institute’s Accent on Architecture, a newly established annual celebration to focus the limelight on the profession’s honors and awards. Jurors included architects Stanley Tigerman, as chairman, Peter Eisenman, George Hartman, Samuel Mockbee, Rob Quigley, and Thomas Vriesman, industrial designer Henry Beer, and architectural student Matthew W. Gilbertson.

1. Pinecote, Picayune, Mississippi; Fay Jones + Maurice Jennings, Architects. The strict geometry of this pine pavilion, owned by the Crosby Arboretum, orders both structure and composition. The jury found it “flawlessly executed” and thought that it “stands on the edge of architecture leaning closely toward pure sculpture.”

2. Sea Ranch Employee Housing, Sea Ranch, California; William Turnbull Associates, Architects. The architects say they based the design of the housing complex on the vernacular style of California’s North Coast, using buildings and trees to create outdoor rooms. “Humble yet self-assured,” in the jury’s opinion, “this project lends a quiet dignity to the spectrum of social housing.”

3. First Interstate Bank Tower at Fountain Place, Dallas; Henry N. Cobb, Pei Cobb Freed & Partners, Architects. Called “a chameleon of a building” and “a fascinating piece of urban sculpture” by the jury, the office building is the first phase of a five-acre development on one edge of...
At a new annual session titled Accent on Architecture, celebrated in Washington, D.C., on February 22, the American Institute of Architects bestowed 19 Honor Awards for design excellence.

5. Lake Harriet Band Shell, Minneapolis: Frederick Bentz/Milo Thompson/Robert Rietow, Inc., Architects [RECORD, November 1989, pages 92-93]. In a lakefront park used for physical recreation as well as concerts, the neighbors asked that the band shell emulate “the romantic style of past park structures,” and the architects devised a glass backdrop that transforms lake into stage set. The jury found the result “comforting, inviting, and familiar.”

6. House, Westchester County, New York: Richard Meier & Partners, Architects. Placing the house on the highest point of its rural site, Meier juxtaposed rectilinear masonry private sectors with curvilinear metal and glass public areas. The jury appreciated the way “hard-edged squares and rectangles collide with soft curvaceous forms” and called the house “a tour de force of precision and balance.”

7. Recreational Sports Facility, University of California, Berkeley: ELS/Elbasani & Logan Architects [RECORD, September 1985, pages 90-94]. In addition to providing a gym, a fieldhouse, exercise rooms, hand-
ball courts, and a parking garage, the building had to mediate town and gown at one corner of the campus. Moreover, the jury felt, “a great interior street provides a spontaneous and dynamic setting for university recreation.”

8. Clos Pegase Winery, Calistoga, California; Michael Graves, Architect. The building combines public and private functions, its central portico leading on one side to the winery, on the other to tasting rooms, while the owner’s house is on axis with the entrance. The jury said that “the wine and these daring buildings are a powerful esthetic package, stimulating all of the senses.”


10. Corporate Headquarters, Becton Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey; Callmann McKinnell & Wood Architects, Inc. [RECORD, January 1985, pages 62-73]. For this corporate headquarters, the designer intended “a quiet intervention into the landscape” and “an ambience of quiet and restrained dignity.” The resulting complex, said the jury, is “beautifully detailed and carefully crafted” and resembles “more a university campus than a corporate center.”

11. Schnabel House, Los Angeles; Frank O. Gehry & Associates, Architects. The architect sought to give the house’s many programmatic elements specific architectural character by varying surface materials—copper, stucco, and concrete. “Bold and idiosyncratic, this Los Angeles house is the essence of originality,” in the jury’s view.

12. Light of the World Catholic Church, Littleton, Colorado; Hoover Berg Desmond, Architects. For a parish church in a new suburb, the architects chose to exemplify basic Early Christian values with such traditional forms as basilica and tower and with such modest contemporary materials as drywall and laminated timber. “With its humble strength,” said the jury, “this church embodies the spirit and thought of Catholicism.”

13. Sun Valley House, Sun Valley, Idaho; Arne Bystrom Architect and Ian MacKinley, Architects. In addition to historic timber structures and modern solar technology, Bystrom says, the thinking of James Gleick, author of Chaos, and his views on the perception of scale ordered the design. The jury called the design, “a way station between the past and the future” and “proof that technology can have a soul.”
14. **Trinity School, Atlanta:** Lord, Aeck & Sargent, Architects [RECORD, August 1988, pages 94-99]. Besides providing space for the arts, the library, and play, the school provides what the architects call “Kinder-gothic entertainment,” offering lessons in architectural structures and styles. The jurors found the school “uninhibited, energetic, and egocentric,” and likened it to “a giant three-dimensional toy.”

15. **The House at Tanglewood, West Stockbridge, Massachusetts:** Schwartz/Silver Architects [RECORD, Mid-April 1987, pages 114-121]. Designed for a professional violinist, the octagonal stucco house is a conscious effort to fuse the ancient and the futuristic. Rather poetically, the jury thought of the house as “a watch tower guarding the serenity of the music conservatory inside from the distractions of the outside world.”

16. **Wohnanlage mit Atelierturn, Berlin:** John Hejduk, Architekt [RECORD, July 1989, pages 92-95]. Housing built as part of Berlin’s International Building Exposition, the project includes a tower of artists’ lofts flanked by two blocks of conventional apartments. Writing before the recent European thaw, the jury said, “A subtle reference to the nearby Berlin Wall, the tower contains duplex apartments that demonstrate that low cost does not mean low on amenities or design.”

17. **Software Engineering Institute, Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh:** Bohlin Powell Larkin Cywinski and Burt Hill Kosar Rittelman Associates, Architects [RECORD, March 1989, pages 78-83]. At this R&D center, the architects wanted to integrate the building’s technical demands with the human needs of its users. Beyond its “well conceived” interior, however, the jury thought that “the glass and granite exterior acts as a kind of architectural bridge linking the institute with its Gothic and Neoclassical neighbors.”

18. **Capital High School, Santa Fe, New Mexico:** Perkins & Will, Architects [RECORD, September 1988, page 101]. Building along an arroyo on a desert site, the architects drew on the Southwestern Territorial style, which combined adobe and Neoclassical elements and was commonly used for official buildings. “Proud and dignified in stature, this school also has a rough-and-tumble quality that welcomes the students and the community,” commented the jury.

19. **Nelson Fine Arts Center, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona:** Antoine Predock, Architect. Envisioned by Predock as “a processional, almost labyrin-thine building,” the center links several buildings and outdoor plazas to unify previously scattered arts programs within a single complex entity. Finding the center “mysterious and intriguing” as well as “romantic and colorful,” the jury said that “this vibrant city of art awakens imagination and stirs the senses.”
GLITZ OR QUALITY: WHAT DO DEVELOPERS WANT?

Architects who have worked extensively with developers talk about how far current developer interest in design has gone.

Now that design has evolved into a nuts-and-bolts component of today's developer strategy, how do the architects and developers who have managed to benefit feel about all this, and how have they managed to produce more "salable" products?

Design as the new bottom line
Cesar Pelli puts his success in this area succinctly: "The bottom line has been redefined." It has, in part at least, "shifted to esthetics."

"A lot of people have decided that good design is good for their pocketbook," seconds Laurin B. Askew, Jr., vice president and director of design for developer The Rouse Company. Rouse doesn't go in much for buildings that are instantly identifiable as the product of a particularly fashionable architect. But the company's long-time success lends credence to the basic credo that "good design sells."

Askew says good design means different things to different developers, but "what we attempt to do is to come up with what we consider a quality place, an environment that makes you feel good. It doesn't necessarily mean expensive materials and construction. Rather, it has to do with how the buildings function and feel. It's not really quantifiable," he adds. "I don't think we spend any more time on design than the next guy." But Rouse's people spend a lot of time worrying about details, "from light fixtures to floor tile to handrails."

Hellmuth, Obata & Kassabaum chairman, Gyo Obata, argues that "a lot of developers still do not understand that, in the long run, the value of a high-quality building is much more than that of a mediocre one." Curiously, this big-firm architect describes the process of educating clients as one akin to that followed by many small firms [Record, February 1990, pages 52-53]: "The key to this collaborative process is communication. Once a developer chooses a good architect, then both have to be very communicative with each other and have to absolutely trust each other's feelings and intuitions. The architect needs to understand the developer's economic problems, the kind of building he is trying to create, and the kind of client he is trying to bring in."

ADD Inc. does all the design work for Allied Equipment Corp. and Wang. To prove the point that developers are more adventurous, ADD's buildings built for corporations' own use tend to be low-frills. But when the same corporations go to lease space in a spec building, they usually go for much-higher amenity—a phenomenon that Allied's president Ken Olson refers to as "quality creep," according to Briggs. For corporations' own buildings, "investors want their money to go into research and development. Even IBM tends to think that way, except for corporate headquarters," he adds. "They tend to build more generic buildings."

Esthetic vs. practical innovation
Not all architects believe that design needs to be attention-grabbing to be marketable. They emphasize different attributes when they ruminated on the meaning of the term good design and what it may mean to clients. Larry A. Sauer, a senior vice president of HOK/Washington, D.C., who agrees that good design is good business, notes: "A lot of developers are looking to make a contribution to the environment. This doesn't just mean a handsome building but how it interacts with the environment. The younger developers are more involved than the more established ones."

HOK believes that its brand of low-key, unobtrusive design approach appeals to developers. "Some of our buildings are not screaming for attention," says William Valentine, HOK/San Francisco's senior vice president and design director. He cites the recent 27-story, 460,000-square-foot Lake Merritt Plaza in Oakland, Calif. (elevation above and photo, following page), as one approach that's "a honey for developers"—in this case, TransPacific Development Company. He calls the building "our best example" of the firm's particular philosophy of design quality. "It's a very simple building, a very inexpensive building within the economic reach of a broad spectrum of users. It's not been shot with a money gun. In a very competitive market," he says, "every single piece has to be right: "If you do your homework correctly, the look will come out of how these things hang together. He points out how lobbies tend to be lifeless. "We have been
Arteries are lined with very special buildings. They aren’t just boxes anymore—but they’re not all good architecture.

The cost of quality
The cost of good and bad design can be the same, Pelli argues, and developers know that. “But, clearly, we do not do the cheapest of buildings.” While he thinks that his firm is in a “unique and favorable position” in that developers and other clients come to him wanting good design, he observes: “This is not just a tiny group. The group of good architects has grown enormously,” implying a growing appreciation.

Pelli seems hard-pressed when asked to estimate how much more developers are willing to pay for that ineffable something called good quality. Sometimes it costs nothing, he says, and some buildings have been built for “several-hundred percent more” than what an imaginary rock-bottom price might have been—not necessarily just because of concern for quality, but also because of the building’s presumed public-relations impact, he says. On average, he guesses, good quality adds perhaps 10 percent to the cost of a building.

Pelli’s assessment seems to be in the ballpark. A principal in another prominent architecture firm, who prefers to remain anonymous, guesses that good architecture or a name architect costs about 15 percent “more than normal, whatever normal is.”

John M. Y. Lee, principal partner in the New York firm of Edward Larrabee Barnes, agrees that “without question, a good number of developers pay more for name architects and will put even more money into buildings because it generates more rent.” He names New York developer George Klein of Park Tower Realty and Mortimer Zuckerman’s Boston Properties as among those who usually, or always, employ name architects as a guarantee of good design, but also as a marketing tool.

“Good design—I’m not sure it’s the right term to use,” muses Lee; rather it’s a matter of using a “well-established name architect.” Presumably a developer could turn to a young eager architect and pay a lot less to come up with a good design, but it could be a “big risk.” Success is the name of the game, and “name recognition has something to do with this,” he says.

But how much more a developer is willing to spend on good design is difficult to ascertain even for the professionals, Lee finds: “Most developers won’t even tell us how much their buildings cost,” he says. “It’s very hard to tell. It’s all tied into the total package.”

Only a downtown issue?
One architectural critic thinks that the whole issue of good vs. bad design for the spec market is really only a downtown issue. “Good design is only in the city,” he opines. A concern for the stark economic bottom line is “typical of suburban office parks,” he believes.

ADD Inc.’s Briggs, who does much of his work in the suburbs, disagrees: “There are just as many junk buildings downtown as in the suburbs.” The suburban builders, including Boston Properties for which he does work, also know that design sells, he believes. “What people look for in the suburbs is a little of the downtown buildings.”

Briggs says that, when he started doing office buildings in Boston’s suburbs for his first clients in the mid-’70s, he like everybody else was doing “straightforward boxes.” But then, “we added more and more, a small atrium perhaps. The developers saw this was selling, and competition got very fierce in the suburbs.” He concedes that some of the results may have turned out more flashy than good architecture, but defends his own record. Just recently, his firm won an award from the National Concrete Institute.

“Basically, the suburban office building is a fairly simple design in terms of how it functions,” Briggs observes. “There’s sort of a formula. After that it becomes the visual image from the highway that counts.” Briggs recalls that, when he opened his Washington office, he was dismayed with what was being built. Today, the arteries feeding into the cities—Route 128 in Boston, Route 270 in Washington—are lined with very special buildings. “Just go out on the Dulles Expressway. There aren’t just boxes any more—but they’re not all good architecture.” With its 20-story-plus freestanding columns, Tysons Corner, just outside the District of Columbia in Virginia, is “one of the ugliest buildings around,” he says. But the developer hired a name architect to attract attention. Nevertheless, he thinks “developers have developed more good architecture in the suburbs than anything else.”

Henry Cobb, partner in Pei Cobb Freed & Partners, who has worked with developers for 40 years, says: “It’s been perfectly obvious that in the past decade there has been more attention paid by developers to so-called high-style architecture.” By and large he thinks the number of developers interested in architecture is “quite small.”

But at the same time, the number of developers “trying to exploit current trends in architecture for their own benefits is certainly increasing,” he says.

Cobb says he has been “fortunate in working with developers who are interested in good architecture,” foremost among them William Zeckendorf, father of the current head of the firm of that name. With early landmark projects such as Kip’s Bay Plaza in New York and the Mile-High Center in Denver, “he will always be at the top of my list,” Cobb says. “He was probably the greatest figure in development in our time. Our firm began as Zeckendorf’s architect in the 50s. We were actually the in-house architects before we became I. M. Pei & Partners.” Other major developers he holds in great esteem are Gerald Hines, George Klein, and McGuire Thomas Partners. “I am perfectly well aware that there is a whole population of developers who never want to hire us and whom we never want to work for, but I have always enjoyed work in the development field.”

What of the future? HOK’s Obata notes that recent tax-law changes have knocked out many fly-by-night, low-budget developers. “We’re in for a time of quality building,” he predicts.
The next time you’re at Bloomingdale’s in New York, take a walk across the street.

“There’s a marvelous new store in town called Zara, and it’s just diagonally across the avenue from Bloomy at 59th and Lexington. Zara is a ‘fast fashion’ (they change inventory completely every ten days) retail chain that started in Spain a few years ago, and has exploded to more than a hundred stores all across Europe. The new New York store is their flagship in the States, and it’s already a success. The range of fashion styles on each of the store’s three levels, the bright palette of colors, and the electric sense that there’s something new and exciting happening every day, all make it a fascinating, if hectic place to visit when you’re in the city. Of course, if you’re the guy who supplied the store’s slate surfaces, they might let you take a break and sit down in one of the window areas.

That’s me in the picture with the dummy, I’m sitting.

“And what I’m sitting on is Norwegian Black Lace Slate. Isn’t that a great name! It’s from the tiny village of Otta in central Norway, and we used it in two different finishes at Zara. We covered the platforms of the window display areas, one of which you see here under me, with a natural cleft surface. It’s hand-split, of course, and has the just slightly uneven surface texture you expect in natural slate. But for the countertop surface of the cash-wrap desk (the busiest place in the store), we cut and smoothed an almost polished-looking finish. What happens on that countertop is that the Norwegian Black Lace catches and reflects light at different levels, so that from some angles the surface almost seems to undulate, and I’ve seen customers at the desk rubbing their fingers across it, surprised to find that it’s dead flat.

If you have ideas, we have lots more Norwegian slate. Vermont, too.

“Even if you’re not interested in supercharged feminine fashion, the muted minimalism of ISD’s excellent design of Zara’s three-level retail space is well worth a visit next time you’re in New York. While you’re there, touch and feel the slate, and see how well it works in ISD’s lighting/texture concept. We’re proud of our contribution. We’re looking for more interesting work we can be proud of. If you’re considering slate for a quality custom project, don’t worry about budget until you’ve talked to me, even if you’re still just in the talking stage. That’s fine, I love to talk. Try me: call me at 1-800-343-1900.”

Bill Markcrows

VERMONT STRUCTURAL LATE COMPANY
FAIR HAVEN, VT 05743
1-800-343-1900 / FAX: 1-802-265-3865
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THE SHORT-TERM SQUEEZE ON MIDSIZE BUILDERS

Even when loan rates come down, new lending regulations will force small-to-midsize developers into a money scramble for awhile.

For some time, monthly statistics on economic growth have been erratic, with many indices up one month and down the next. This vacillating pattern signals an economy that is losing momentum. To break the slide and avoid a recession, interest rates will soon have to fall sharply [Record, January 1990, page 60].

With the rate of economic activity slowing, the Federal Reserve was expected to pick up the pace of monetary ease this quarter. This action would lower interest rates and help revive the economy next quarter.

Instead, interest rates so far this year have inched higher. Behind that rise is the dilemma the Reserve faces in reversing the deceleration of growth and extending this expansion.

Underlying core inflation (as measured by wage and salary increases) has remained stubbornly in the 4.5- to 5-percent range despite the fourth quarter 1989 slowdown in economic activity. Indeed, it will take several more quarters of less-than-2-percent real economic gains to nudge core inflation below the 4-percent level.

Meanwhile, financial markets will react negatively any time inflation measures jump, as they did at the end of 1989 and in early 1990. These particular hikes reflected temporary factors, such as extreme cold weather throughout much of the United States, which damaged crops and drove up fuel prices for a few weeks. Nevertheless, investors, spooked by fears of higher inflation, sold off stocks and dropped bond prices in January. Quickly, the Federal Reserve backed off further relaxation of monetary policy to reassure that it is still committed to checking inflation.

Once the spike in inflation proves to be transitory, but the deceleration in growth does not, the Federal Reserve will renew easing of monetary policy. Interest rates all along the yield curve will decline. Mortgage rates, which in late January were near 10 percent, will edge downward toward 9 percent this spring.

There is a significant pent-up demand for house ownership among the large group of households in the first-time house-buying age group, ages 28 to 42 years. As mortgage rates dip, many of those people will aggressively begin buying houses.

Normally, their purchases would spur single-family building, offering a much-needed boost to economic activity. This time, however, a structural problem in the real-estate-finance market may limit the availability of construction loans, moderating any rise in single-family starts.

Two types of financial institutions, savings-and-loans associations and commercial banks, dominate construction lending. Combined, these institutions hold more than 90 percent of all single-family and nonresidential construction loans and nearly 70 percent of all multifamily loans.

Currently, both financial institutions are adapting their capital and investment strategies to much more stringent capital requirements. Besides having to increase the amount of capital held against total assets, these institutions are realigning their lending businesses to meet newly imposed risk-based capital regulations. Among other things, these standards require commercial banks and savings associations to set aside more capital for their holdings of construction loans than for the same volume of single-family mortgages.

In normal times, these institutions would be able to adjust to these changes in due course. But these are not normal times.

Even before the passage of the Financial Institution Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act in August 1989 mandating tougher capital-to-asset ratios for savings associations, many were already short of capital. The new requirements have forced even more to trim lending and sell assets.

On top of that, FIRREA slashed the maximum amount lent to one borrower. As a result of these new rules, many have been recently alerting builders across the country of sizable cutbacks in new construction loans.

Previously, some of this shortfall might have been made up in increased construction lending at commercial banks. But these institutions are also dealing with more restrictive capital standards. To husband their capital, they will concentrate their lending on the bigger projects. Consequently, smaller builders and developers will have to scramble for construction funds this spring and summer.

As the scarcity of construction money becomes evident, interest rates on these loans will become very sticky, even as other interest rates are falling. Eventually, the higher rates on such loans will attract new sources of funds. But that process will take time because other investors will have to be educated about the risks and rewards of construction lending.

Without a plentiful supply of building money, single-family housing starts, which were expected to rebound strongly this spring, could climb at a much slower pace throughout the second and third quarters. In turn, economic growth, lacking this spur, could tumble even closer to a recession this summer.
STOW IT
DON'T THROW IT

For the sake of posterity and peace of mind, construction documents, design drawings, and records need to be safely stored and accessible.

Architects seem to be notoriously complacent about record keeping. In a profession in which extraordinary amounts of complexity, change, and detail are involved, it is far easier to discard early schemes than to exert the additional energy and time needed to record and store the past.

It is, therefore, not surprising to note that archival materials in a great number of architectural offices are in pitiful condition. Successful designers have neither the inclination nor time to save materials, and many firms simply do not have the funds. Very often, materials get lost, rolled up, and stored without any system for recovery. Piled into a spare closet, subject to mold, vermin, harmful light, or water damage, valuable documents become faded and forgotten. Problems of retrieval become difficult, if not impossible.

The importance of keeping records and materials

Saving and organizing materials for their protection and reuse requires dedication, time, money, and space for storage. What, then, are the compelling reasons to commit costly resources to such an effort?

• Serving repeat clientele. A major portion of most successful practices is repeat clientele. Providing services to on-going clients creates a primary need for retention and retrieval of documentation. Previous work for a particular client becomes tantamount to the maintenance of that relationship. And competition for new projects with existing clients can be pursued when based upon past knowledge.

• Liability protection. Certainly protection against legal exposure provides the most obvious and strongest argument for prudent record keeping. Evidence of final documents as approved for construction, not only by clients, but also by governmental or regulatory agencies, provides a safeguard against copying or plagiarism, and legal protection in case of suit.

Christopher Noble, an attorney specializing in architecture and construction law at the Boston-based law firm Hill & Barlow, advises clients to survey the individual statutes of limitations and repose of those states in which the firm practices architecture to determine the period of time during which record keeping is advisable.

“There are several factors to consider in establishing a storage and disposal program for documents,” notes Noble. “Most claims are filed within the first five years after substantial completion of a project; however, a firm may be called upon to defend a design decision years later.

“If a suit happens,” he adds, “there are certain records that are more useful than others. For example, the working set of final plans and specifications, marked up for field conditions, is likely to be critical. Meeting notes and correspondence will be relevant in a large number of claims, especially process-oriented claims involving issues such as delays and cost overruns. This kind of claim is likely to occur during or soon after construction. However, a firm should not consider disposal of any project files until after the expiration of the relevant statute of repose.”

• Your own reference. The firm’s own experience should be the foundation of a source of data upon which new and veteran staff members alike can draw. The ability to refer to sets of drawings with ease can expedite new projects. In using similar programs, details, materials, or building techniques, care must be taken to avoid repeating that which is later found to be unsuitable or incorrect.

• Advancing the profession. The architect has an obligation to preserve a record of the practice of architecture, if for no other reason than the saving of historical information about how the profession functions. Concern for the future demonstrates a responsibility to the profession and society at large to preserve what is good, to contribute to the advancement of knowledge, and promote worthwhile research in architecture and education.

The types of materials to preserve

The architectural records, drawings, and documentation that should be preserved depend upon the size, type, and significance of individual projects. A major civic building, for example, may have considerably more material worthy of future study than a house. In general, items worthy of preservation may include conceptual drawings, program information, preliminary sketches, schematic, design-development, working, and shop drawings, specifications, supplemental drawings, construction records, photographic documentation, presentation materials, photographs, slides, renderings, models, or photographs of models, and approved sets of drawings.

Final approval sets are usually kept at the job site during construction and turned over to the client for his permanent files. However, the architect is often called upon for the information in those sets because the client has lost track of them. Keeping a record of the final information or a copy of the letter of transmittal may prove beneficial in such cases. Certainly, during the progress of work, it behooves the architect to save approvals, cost data, sketches, change orders, and other administrative documentation.

Ways to preserve records that work

Each architectural office needs to establish a system of recording what has been filed (identified by project number and
The success of the system relies upon one individual who consistently performs the archival tasks.

These codes are now on-line at Harvard University. Although this lengthy and detailed process represents the state-of-the-art in archival repository, it is an instructive lesson in organizing and storing material in such a fashion so as to make retrieval easy and accessible.

The actual method of record keeping should be designed by each firm to suit its individual needs or specific type of practice. Major projects, such as a high-rise complex that spans 5-10 years in the office from contract signing to completion, requires up to as many as 20-30 boxes for storage. Identification, location, and cross-referencing of material becomes important in such instances.

The role of specific departments in the firm’s record keeping is not as critical as the personality of the individual selected to assume the overall responsibility for the firm’s archives. Such an individual needs to demonstrate an interest in detail, organization, and accurate record keeping. Like other similar endeavors, the success of the system relies upon one individual who consistently performs the necessary tasks and has the responsibility for it, rather than passing the job between a number of disinterested hands.

Preparing for the future

A stable practice of long standing with a reputation for sound work should preserve its history and experience. This can be of interest and value to future scholars, historians, and fellow practitioners. Certainly, the history of significant projects and the implications of their design and construction should be available for study. In these cases, thought should be given to the eventual disposition of the firm’s work.

The question of what to do with the firm’s archives should be addressed long before there is a major change in the organization or management of the firm, or before the sudden death of a principal may find an office unprepared. Most architects are aware of the unfortunate difficulties surrounding the preservation of Louis Kahn’s archival papers. If material is worth keeping over time, and worth organizing and maintaining, then it is also worth the planning for its eventual disposition. The decisions need to be made early because there is great risk of it being lost, destroyed, or dispersed and dissipated if heirs have no interest in preserving the history of the practice.

The retrospective exhibition marking the 50 years of Hugh Stubbins’ practice precipitated early discussions on the safeguarding of the Stubbins archives, and the resulting decision to donate the architect’s professional papers and drawings to Harvard University’s Graduate School of Design, and, at the request of the AIA Foundation, documentation of one significant project in its entirety to its collection at the Octagon.

Once the decision is made to prepare for the disposition of an archival collection, the donor corporation may want to consider not attaching restrictions to the gift material. Copyrights on the materials in the collection should be transferred to the recipient, who will then be free to use the gift—thereby insuring its long-term usefulness. The donor should also make provisions for the recipient to dispose of or deaccession the material as need be. The appointment of an archival executor can be an effective measure for protecting and preserving the integrity of the collection. As visionary as the profession is, architects cannot predict the future, only prepare for it wisely.

Mr. Westlake is senior vice president and a principal of The Stubbins Associates, Inc. He has responsibility for the preservation of the firm’s archives and their transfer to Harvard University’s Graduate School of Design and the AIA Foundation’s Octagon. His personal collection of 18th- and 19th-century manuscripts on early ship design for the United States Sailing Navy has furthered his interest in accurate transcription, documentation, and storage of valuable primary materials.
ARCHITECTURE ON DISPLAY

Mildred Friedman of the Walker Art Center has brought architectural exhibitions into the third dimension.

In recent years the Walker Art Center in Minneapolis has emerged as America's leading museum of design. While the Museum of Modern Art in New York has been pursuing various elusive "isms" and the Cooper-Hewitt has been in transition between directors, the Walker has been busy producing a series of exhibitions, publications, and conferences covering a remarkably wide range of topics. In the process, the Walker has taken architectural exhibitions to a new dimension—the third.

Credit for much of this ambitious programming goes to Mildred Friedman, design curator and editor of the Walker's Design Quarterly for the past two decades. But unfortunately for the Walker, "Mickey," as she is known to colleagues and friends, and her husband Martin, the museum's director, have announced plans to retire in November.

Mildred Friedman came to the Walker with training in both design and education—a varied background that has clearly influenced her current mission of presenting avant-garde subject matter in a manner that appeals to popular audiences. She was educated at University of California, Los Angeles, in design and art history. Friedman began her professional career teaching design at L.A.'s City College, then came to Minneapolis as an in-house interior designer for the now-defunct architectural firm of Cerny Associates. Friedman's first association with the Walker was as a design consultant during construction of its celebrated building, designed by Edward Larrabee Barnes.

After assuming a permanent post at the Walker in 1969, Friedman immediately began expanding both the design exhibition program and the institution's magazine. Early exhibitions were on urban design, learning spaces, and the Herman Miller design process. Publication topics ranged from American Indian Art to Minnesota press photography. A breakthrough of sorts for the Walker in terms of national recognition came with the organization of a blockbuster Picasso show in 1980. But it was with a series of architectural exhibits in the latter part of the decade that helped to solidify the Walker's growing reputation for innovation.

In 1982, the Walker launched another blockbuster, the first major exhibition on the De Stijl movement in some three decades. Like the movement itself, the exhibition was notable for its intermingling of art, graphics, furniture design, and architecture. More than 200 objects were displayed, including paintings, drawings, and models. Most significantly, the exhibition also included a reconstruction of Theo van Doesburg's Café Aubette cinema and dance hall and the studio of Piet Mondrian in Paris, plus other re-creations of significant spaces previously destroyed. In what has now become hallmark Walker style, visitors not only could look at the De Stijl esthetic, they could actually experience it.

Two 1986 exhibitions expanded on this concept. In "Tokyo: Form and Spirit," historic objects were displayed alongside three-dimensional environments inspired by rituals of daily life. Tadao Ando and Shiro Kuramata designed an exuberant "living space" that was built next to a replica of a traditional Japanese tea house.

Later that same year, the Walker unveiled the first full-scale exhibition of the work of Santa Monica-based Frank O. Gehry, much to the consternation of some local architects who felt home-town heroes were being neglected in favor of a far-out Southern California radical. Friedman, who spent three and a half years developing the exhibition, said of her controversial choice: "I felt that Gehry was someone who was breaking new ground, but was enormously aware of history...I think [he] has achieved a new way to see the world." In response, Friedman helped develop a new way of documenting his vision. Once again, three-dimensional constructions were commissioned for the exhibition: in this case, five volumetric objects containing models and drawings of actual Gehry works. Upon entering the full-scale shelters—some based on Gehry's beloved fish forms, others excerpts from recent projects—the visitor had an approximation of being inside the architect's own buildings. One critic called the exhibition "a notable work of architecture in itself."

Currently the Walker is in the midst of a three-year-long series of exhibitions called "Architecture Tomorrow," which features "young architects whose built works have demonstrated an originality and expressive means worthy of public focus." Friedman terms these shows "visionary, not retrospective. We're telling these young architects: Do something in an exhibition..."
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Friedman terms these shows ‘visionary, not retrospective.
We’re telling these young architects: Do something in an exhibition
so that when Mrs. Jones walks into the gallery, she’s going to learn
something about architecture.’

so that when Mrs. Jones walks into the
gallery, she’s going to learn something
about architecture. We tried to find people
who were putting themselves out on the
proverbial limb, coming up with new
ideas.” The architects were encouraged to
eschew the typical museum fare of draw-
ings and models in favor of full-scale con-
structions, to be built specially for the ex-
hibition by the Walker’s own
highly skilled installation crew.
(Inevitably drawings and mod-
els are included in the exhibi-
tions to provide specific points
of reference within the archi-
teects’ oeuvre.)
The series opened in the
spring of 1988 with a show on
Franklin Israel of Los Angeles
[RECORD, April 1989, page 66]. A
second show mounted in the
spring of 1989 focused on Thom
Mayne and Michael Rotondi
also of Los Angeles [RECORD,
July 1989, page 65]. The most
recent show in the series, on
Tod Williams and Billie Tsien
[see review, page 49], was
especially popular, according to
Friedman, because it showed
new ways of using materials to
achieve affordable housing.
Closing out the series will be
Stanley Saitowitz of San Fran-
sisco (spring 1990), Elizabeth
Diller and Ricardo Scofidio of
New York (fall 1990), and Steven
Friedman will see the series through
de spite her retirement, and she remains firm-
ly committed to the use of full-scale build-
ing elements in architectural exhibitions.
“It’s almost a disservice to architecture to
show it in two dimensions,” she says with
characteristic firmness and conviction.
“Most people simply can’t read archi-
itectural drawings. Models help. But things
that people can actually walk through and
experience convey a sense of space and
materials like nothing else.”
Friedman acknowledges that inclusion
of such elements limits the number of ar-
chitectural exhibits that an institution can
undertake; it also restricts their mobility.
Many museums don’t have the resources
or staff to deal with such a show, although
Friedman claims the budget for each of
her shows is modest.
Despite their relative high cost and
immobility, architectural exhibitions have
proliferated in recent years in the nation’s
museums. Friedman attributes this to a
steady growth in public interest in ar-
chitecture, also evidenced in the growing

Recent shows curated by Mildred
Friedman have focused on (clockwise
from top left): Frank O. Gehry,
Franklin Israel, Morphosis, and the
De Stijl movement.

have brought an appreciation of architec-
ture as an art form to public audiences in
the Twin Cities and beyond,” notes local
architect Leonard Parker. “It’s the only
institution in our area that has done so.”
He continues: “Mickey has been a remark-
able influence in the cause of good design. I
don’t always agree with her, but she has
made a lot of good things happen.” Says
architect Milo Thompson: “She has done exceptionally good
things. Her programs have shown by example what really
high standards are.” Edward Barnes, the museum’s archi-
tect, echoes the sentiment: The exhibitions, he says, “are less
historical, and more forward-
looking. Mickey takes a lot of
risks, but I don’t know of a fail-
ure.” Thompson also points out
that the Walker’s design pro-
grams have had impact well
beyond the Minneapolis-St. Paul
metropolitan area. “A lot of
people have gone on from the
Walker to hold positions of in-
fluence elsewhere,” including
Richard Kos Cave, who is now
the director of L. A.’s Museum of
Contemporary Art. He also
points to the success of Walker
exhibitions in their travels to
other cities. “We’re proud of
the shows that have originated
here and gone elsewhere.”
Not surprisingly, Friedman
has received her share of hon-
ors over her years at the Walker. She is an
honorary member of AIA, has served on
the AIA national honor awards jury, and is
a regent of the American Architectural
Foundation. She holds honorary doctorates
from the Minneapolis College of Art and
Design and Hamline University. Perhaps
the most apt summation of Friedman’s ca-
career to date was contained in her noma-
tion to the AIA which said that “she has
devoted much of her professional energy
to making the public aware of the signifi-
cance of architecture in their daily lives.”
When the Friedmans move to New York
this fall, Mickey’s presence will be sorely
missed at the museum where she made an
illustrious mark.

Donald J. Canty
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AN INTERIM REPORT ON THE POSSIBLE FUTURE

Tod Williams and Billie Tsien present an ambitious but compromised vision of domestic life at the Walker Art Center.

The exhibition by Tod Williams and Billie Tsien, the third of six in the Walker Art Center’s ambitious series showcasing younger firms, demonstrates the shortcomings of its theme, “Architecture Tomorow.” (It will move to the Whitney Museum, in New York, March 14 through May 18). Williams and Tsien see a more socially responsible and environmentally sound future, which is represented by components assembled from humble materials and industrial byproducts. Among these are winglike roof/wall panels made of foamed plastic reinforced by a compressed-paper tube (above left), a cellular resin and wood-pulp floor deck adapted from forklift pallets, chairs and space dividers made of Homasote (above right), all arranged to suggest a home life that is open and communal (plan). Although the architects don’t expect these ideas to be taken too literally, how they are to be taken is baffling. There is simply too large a gap between the objects as presented and what might actually be useful in low-cost construction. Like Wright, Williams and Tsien want to break open the box, but this bodes no social revolution.

How did these thoughtful and skillful architects get into this dead end? It’s fine to try to anticipate what lies ahead. It’s just that a serious exploration of residential architecture’s place in the future has certainly been compromised here, given a limited budget, the devotion of only one gallery to the show, and curator Mildred Friedman’s penchant for encouraging exhibitions that are in themselves architec-

tural artifacts (Friedman is profiled on page 45.) Such “research” as the Walker encourages may not show the true strengths of the Williams/Tsien partnership. Tiny models tucked within the installation are the exhibit’s most realistic—and fascinating—objects. Wedges of the roof/wall component are artfully jumbled together in suburban garage/storage sheds. In a model for a rural villa, a columnar structure supports an elegiac roof of sinew and membrane.

When the show moves to space the architects themselves have designed—the Whitney Museum’s branch in lower Manhattan [RECORD INTERIORS, Mid-September 1988, pages 114-117]—visitors will be able to judge for themselves which has a future, the speculative or the real.  

J. S. R.
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THE CITY ON PAPER
AND IN THE IMAGINATION

Three new books examine the city in strikingly different ways: as idea, as web, and as human hive.


Reviewed by Douglas Gantenbein

"Cities are, of course, places in the world," writes New York architect Patricia Phillips in her introduction to The London Project. "But they are also ideas."

It is the city as an idea that these books tackle, each in its own way. The London Project tries to create a theoretical city based on the ideas of an existing one. Buildings for Cities looks at the idea that architecture can be used to bind cities together. And City Moves explores the idea of the city as human hive.

The goal of the 10 architects who assembled the book-exhibition, The London Project, is to examine the very concept behind this venerable city. The book looks at London strictly as an idea, not a physical entity, then imposes its own forms with the goal of breaking down the barrier between idea and built object.

Some of the proposals seem fairly straightforward. Marek Walczak, one of the project's two originators, looks at eight key points in the city and re-interprets each according to his own criteria. Westminster becomes a Constructivist-like processing center for political machinations, the old site of the Globe Theater a theater for criticism, and so on.

Other portions of The London Project defy ready explanation. Jesse Reiser and Nanako Umemo create, as a modern analog to the old Globe Theater, a shadow projector which beams images from word puzzles created from paper maché overlays of telephone books and newsprint.

The results of The London Project are what one might expect of such a theoretical endeavor. The book is often deliberately obtuse, and the writers too frequently drop in jaw-busting phrases like "This is a factual recording of the appearance of a fortuitous embodiment clothed in the lineaments of an emblem." The writing is painfully self-conscious; to not be fully involved in its authors' world is to not get the point.

A more accessible approach to the idea of the city—and a more readily useful one—is presented in Buildings for Cities. This copiously illustrated volume examines the strongly urban vision of two architects/teachers through projects for the United States and Italy. Machado and Silvetti, who have been partners since 1974, are natives of Argentina and teach at Harvard University's Graduate School of Design.

The unifying theme for their work is that the city is a definable, concrete entity. Their work does not try to remake an existing city, but instead aims at refining or capitalizing on what exists. It also deals objectively with the city's culture and the impact of urban form on city residents. It is, writes Harvard professor Peter Rowe in his introduction, "a serious attempt to enable ordinary citizens to regain a knowledge of their cities that has become almost the exclusive right of the social elites."

The two have successfully combined a poetic vision for the city with a well-developed sense of the practical workings of urban centers. Their 1978 proposal for the Steps of Providence, for instance, uses stairways, paths, gardens, and squares to effectively knit together the inchoate campus of the Rhode Island School of Design. At the same time the project serves a strongly ceremonial and symbolic function that transcends the practical.

Machado and Silvetti defy easy definition. They are possessed of a Modernist sensibility in their clean, sometimes spare forms. But they also are quite comfortable with the idea of history as a long unbroken tapestry, to be referred to when the opportunity suits. Their proposal for a renovated district in Dallas re-creates the rhythm of a series of Florentine palazzos without aping their form. Similarly, designs for a series of public squares in Leonforte, Sicily, make use of images of an updated city tower, complete with machicolations and viewing tubes that offer selected views of the surrounding town.

Stephen Friedman, a professor in environmental and social psychology at the University of California at Berkeley, is interested less in the city as either concept or designed object than as the subject of human use. In City Moves, Friedman provides an overview of urban history, then discusses the role of the automobile, the growth of suburbs, and the city as metaphor. To tie his seemingly random chapter selections together, Friedman employs cross references he calls "moves" throughout the book. Mention of Wright's ideas on an agrarian democracy, for instance, is accompanied by references to sections on the inventions of Edison and Ford. There, the reader can learn a bit about the technological breakthroughs that, to Wright, made Broadacre City possible.

Friedman's knowledge of human behavior makes parts of City Moves fun reading. But the book is less about information than packaging. The reader with anything more than a nodding acquaintance with urban and architectural planning will find little that is new. And the book's self-referential structure and habit of trying to engage the reader in classroom exercises ("What five, ten, or fifteen adjectives could be used to describe what it is you're seeing?") is ultimately annoying.
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Reviewed by Dennis P. Doordan

Since the end of World War II, few countries have provoked and sustained as much interest in architectural circles as Italy. In The New Architecture of Europe, a survey of postwar building published in 1961, the American architectural critic G. E. Kiddder-Smith noted, "For well more than a decade following the war, contemporary Italian architecture was the brightest, most imaginative, and most stimulating in all Europe." Italian architects such as Franco Albini, Ignazio Gardella, and the young BPR firm dazzled the international architectural community with their sophisticated variations on Modern design themes.

More recently, the works of Aldo Rossi, Paolo Portoghesi, and Carlo Scarpa have served as lightning rods in architectural schools and professional circles, attracting both critical acclaim and furious condemnation. This fascination with things Italian is based on more than just the seductive charms of sunny Italy. Over the last four decades Italian architects have consistently produced work that challenged existing definitions of Modernism and expanded the expressive range of contemporary architecture.

In the 1950s, for example, movements such as Neo-Realism and Neo-Liberty (after the early 20th-century Stile Liberty movement) posed some of the earliest challenges to the doctrines of orthodox Modernism. The decade of the 1960s began with visionary planning proposals based on megastructures and ended with stinging critiques of Modernist megalomania and open revolt in the schools of architecture. In the 1970s and '80s the drama surrounding the exhaustion of Modernism and the rise of Postmodernism occupied center stage. Many of the issues that figured prominently in the international architectural debates of the 1980s—historicism versus avant-garde design, contextualism, the nature of the genesis of form, and the conceptual limits of architecture—were first articulated within the postwar architectural culture of Italy. A careful examination of Italy's national experience contributes a critical historical perspective to contemporary architectural discussions.

Unfortunately, comprehensive accounts of Italian architecture have remained frustratingly inaccessible to American readers. Vittorio Gregotti's excellent 1968 study, New Directions in Italian Architecture, for example, is now woefully out-of-date. And recent monographs on individual architects fail to do justice to the complexity of the Italian experience. Manfredo Tafuri's History of Italian Architecture, 1944-1985 fills a tremendous gap in the English literature on postwar architecture. Tafuri's History is a revised and expanded version of a study published originally in 1982 as part of a multivolume series devoted to the history of Italian art.

Manfredo Tafuri, of course, is another Italian name familiar to American readers. He has written penetrating analyses and provocative essays on topics ranging from Renaissance humanism to 20th-century avant-garde architecture and the state of contemporary criticism. History of Italian Architecture conforms to the tradition of Tafuri's scholarship. First, he explains the political and economic structures that determine the significant aspects of the production of the built environment. He clarifies the way, for example, in which housing legislation, methods of financing, and the condition of the construction industry affect building. He then explores the psychological dimension of professional practice; design for Tafuri can be read as a visual manifestation of a tormented psyche. Projects and buildings reveal "repressed desires" and provide opportunities for individuals and classes to "exorcise anxiety."

Tafuri's conception of architectural history and criticism is controversial and has earned him both admirers and detractors. If you do not like his earlier work, you will not like this latest effort. Even his admirers, however, may find History of Italian Architecture difficult. Many of his references to individuals, organizations, and events in postwar Italy will be unfamiliar to American readers. A good translation calls for more than a literal rendering of the original text in another language; it often requires explanatory material to assist the foreigners with references that are perfectly clear to the native reader. There is not nearly enough of such explanatory material in this American edition.

In the final chapter, entitled "The Threshold and the Problem," Tafuri delineates the elements of the current crisis in architecture in a way that transcends the boundaries of national experiences. What are architects to do, he asks, in an age such as ours when modern architecture is rendered mute by the inability of the Modernist ideology to command our allegiance any longer, and the multifarious forms of Postmodern design are swallowed up in the babble of pluralism? Search for questions, he admonishes, not answers. Remember, he writes, "art has the power to indicate the problem, and not to resolve it." Since the end of World War II, few architectural communities have questioned the nature and purpose of architecture as thoroughly or as thoughtfully as have the Italians. Now Tafuri has opened the door for American readers to this complex and fascinating chapter in recent history.

Dennis Doordan is professor of architecture and art at the University of Illinois at Chicago and the author of Building Modern Italy: Italian Architecture 1914-1936.

"I can't exactly put my finger on it, but, it just feels 'so right' up here. You know?"
IN SEARCH OF A PROFESSIONAL DETENTE

Glasnost and perestroika have begun to improve the lot of Soviet architects as opportunities for professional interchange increase.

Last May at the AIA Convention in St. Louis, I met Yuri Platonov, the president of the USSR Union of Architects [RECORD, June 1989, page 9]. Yuri was filled with hope that detente between the U. S. and the USSR would bring advantages for the architectural profession through cultural exchanges for contemporary environmental design that could match in numbers and intensity the back and forth of musicians, dancers, and actors. He told me to expect exchanges of students and faculty between U. S. and Soviet architectural schools; that he intended to facilitate transfers of scholarly and technological research; that Soviet architects should be given every opportunity to travel and study in the States, and that America’s architects would be made welcome in the Soviet Union. Departing, I wondered if perestroika and glasnost would last long enough for him to begin to achieve his far-reaching ambitions.

Well, it turns out that both are now even more ascendant, and Yuri is making the most of it. Last January I met him again, first in Leningrad, and then in Moscow. With me from the U. S. were architectural historian Kenneth Frampton and architect Michael Rotondi. We, along with three Soviet architects, were serving as jurors selecting U. S. and Soviet architectural projects for a traveling exhibition: “The Socially Responsible Environment—USA/USSR—1980-1990,” sponsored jointly by Architects/Designers/Planners for Social Responsibility (ADPSR) and the USSR Union of Architects. The president of ADPSR, Tician Papachristou, and Yuri, having agreed to a series of exhibition exchanges, together invented this first one.

ADPSR represents more than 2,500 U. S. architects, interior designers, and planners. It opposes nuclear and conventional war, hoping to redirect national activities toward improving the quality of the built environment. Conceived to address these goals, and to help fill the information gap caused by the isolation of Soviet architects from their fellow practitioners in the U. S. and Western Europe, the exhibition consists of the jury’s selection of the most significant architectural works designed in the U. S. and the Soviet Union in the past 10 years. The show opens at the Knoll International showroom in New York City on April 24, and will travel to other U. S. cities. A May 2nd opening is scheduled for Moscow, and the exhibit will tour the Soviet republics. More about the exhibition after it opens.

It is enough to note that the momentous political and social transformations in the Soviet Union are expanding the reach and knowledge of all kinds of people, including architects.

MILDRED F. SCHMERTZ
The new Canadian Chancery (a.k.a. Embassy) on Pennsylvania Avenue, practically across the street from I.M. Pei’s East Building for the National Gallery of Art, was officially opened by Prime Minister Brian Mulroney in May 1989. In a city that has been deplorably lacking in architecture of much distinction over the past 40 years or so, the new Chancery, designed by Arthur Erickson, is a breath of fresh air. Of all the embassies that have been constructed in the nation’s capital since World War II, only the late Egon Eiermann’s German Chancery, off Foxhall Road, really enhanced the local scene. The new Soviet Embassy, a blockbusting monument to the Cold War, looms above Georgetown’s skyline in clear and justified retaliation for the new U.S. Embassy, built simultaneously in Moscow. And of most of the new structures either built or planned on other sites along Pennsylvania Avenue, the less said, the better: it’s a free country, even if its capital is beginning to look as if it had been conceived by the late Albert Speer. And you can always escape to Pei’s lovely East Building if the latest eruption of faux-classicism down the street gives you the willies.

Arthur Erickson’s work in Vancouver and elsewhere had prepared him well to tackle any commission on an urban scale. But the Canadian Chancery presented some problems that were more complex than any he had faced before.

First, there was the site, a prominent corner on the nation’s Main Street. The property measures roughly 1 1/2 acres in area, and is defined by Pennsylvania Avenue to the south, John Marshall Park (with its bland, GSA-style structures) to the east, and C Street to the north. It is unquestionably the most imposing embassy site in Washington.

Second, there were the ground rules laid down by the Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation (PADC) in its master plan for this important street. These mandates set the cornice-line height of any building constructed on the site and the footprint, including a specific definition of the critical southeast corner of the building and an equally specific alignment along the surrounding streets. Which left little more than a window or two, and an entrance or two, to the discretion of the designer—or so it seemed. Moreover, these matters—and all other design decisions—had to run the gauntlet of Washington’s innumerable commissions, which have managed to mold the capital in their own image.

Third, of course, there was the client’s program, which, while fairly complex, was barely ample enough in its space requirements to fill a building of half the bulk mandated by PADC in its master plan. Yet the site was so prestigious, and so symbolic of the special friendship that exists between the two North American neighbors, that a way had to be found to reconcile the modest building program with the important site available to the Canadians and the hefty bulk called for by the PADC.

Fourth, there were questions of architectural diplomacy: as an honored guest in a prominent place in the host nation’s capital, do you defer to the local scene (regardless of what you might think of it, in private)—and, if so, to what portion of that scene? To Pei’s minimalist, marble, concrete and glass elegance? To John Russell Pope’s Neoclassical pomposity? To the aggressiveness of the J. Edgar Hoover FBI Building down the street? To the GSA’s mediocrity all around? To the Federal Trade Commission’s rotunda at the intersection of Constitution

Peter Blake is professor of architecture at Catholic University. He is a practicing architect and critic, and the former editor of Architectural Forum and Architecture Plus.
and Pennsylvania avenues? To all of the above—or none? Moreover (and this, too, is part of architectural diplomacy), do you attempt to express something symbolic about your own country: its political structure, its spaciousness, its openness, its generosity? And, if so, how? Finally, because with this building your own country and its articulate people were, in a sense, trying to say something about themselves to their self-absorbed neighbor to the south, your real client was not the Canadian Department of External Affairs, but the 25 million citizens of Canada, each of whom probably had some idea of what their house in Washington should look like.

In short, the Canadian Chancery was perhaps not the easiest commission Arthur Erickson had ever tackled.

The program for the Chancery called for three distinct elements: a block to contain the Ambassador’s offices and various support services, as well as the Political, Economic, Defense, and Public Affairs divisions; a number of spaces (including an art gallery and a 171-seat theater) that would add up to a small Canadian cultural center; and a compact wing that would contain consular services—e.g., places where would-be immigrants to Canada could apply for visas. These three basic elements would all be interrelated, but there would be separate entrances to each, plus loading docks to supply various services. In addition, a two-level basement garage for 234 cars would serve as a staff entrance for Chancery employees, who would reach their offices by elevators.

There were many other requirements, some of them involving ceremonial functions, others dictated by security considerations, still others by the client’s desire to provide various pleasant amenities for the Chancery’s staff—a gym, a restaurant, a lounge, a commissary, and similar facilities.

Erickson’s solution to all these requirements and constraints is a building of deceptive simplicity: a structure U-shaped in plan, that frames and defines a spacious interior court largely open to the city. Because the site is accessible from three sides, Erickson was able to provide garage and service entrances on the north, entrances to the consular services and a formal ceremonial entrance driveway on the east, and public entrances from Pennsylvania Avenue on the south.

In some respects, the resulting building is reminiscent of Le Corbusier’s beautiful 1957 Monastery of La Tourette, near Lyon, although the latter is located in a rural setting, and the Canadian Chancery is very much an urban building. Both buildings frame an interior court, both contain a very complex range of interior spaces (within a deceptively simple, enclosing framework), and each treats its top floor as an observation deck from which to enjoy distant views.

But where La Tourette is a rough building in Le Corbusier’s post-World War II béton brut, Erickson’s Canadian Chancery is a polished structure, elegantly detailed and flawlessly finished. What is more, his central court is not, strictly speaking, an interior space; it opens the Chancery outward to its surroundings in a friendly and welcoming fashion not seen in many government structures, in Washington or anywhere else.

Although the Chancery boasts a number of successful interiors, its central court is clearly the building’s handsomest space—and a significant contribution to the city as a whole. The court is a 100- by 200-foot platform raised some 12 feet above Pennsylvania Avenue. It is paved with a Canadian stone described as being halfway between marble and limestone, and reached by flights of steps and ramps that adjust in height to the complex levels of the surroundings.

One of Washington’s most serious urban flaws is that the city has virtually no outdoor spaces scaled to pedestrian usage. Its parks and plazas seem designed to induce massive agoraphobia: they don’t “work” for normally dimensioned human beings, except when the latter march militarily, or in protest. The Canadian Chancery’s central court is Washington’s first outdoor space within memory that seems scaled to comfortable
human usage. Moreover, it will become increasingly pleasant in the months and years to come: Erickson, in collaboration with landscape architect Cornelia Oberlander, has turned the east facade of the office block facing the court into a tiered cascade of pink-flowering hawthorns, memorial rose bushes, and, as ground cover, white azaleas. When Oberlander first saw Erickson's sketches for the Chancery court, she was reminded of the Canopus in Hadrian's Villa. "Pliny the Elder described the villa in terms of the roses and the topiary work carried out by the gardeners," she recalls, "and that gave us the basic concept."

At the level of the raised courtyard, there are pools in constant motion. One of these—a "wave pool"—will soon be endowed with an 18-foot-long, 12-foot-tall, bronze "spirit canoe" by the native Haida carver and jeweler Bill Reid. "He is the most influential person on our west coast in the revival of the native arts," says Erickson. Reid's work, according to Erickson, will be a vessel in which the Shaman transports the initiate to the underworld. "A tall figure stands majestically among a rabble of fighting humans—actually, half humans, half animals," Erickson explains. We shall see what evolves. The waters of the wave pool will lap against the spirit canoe, while the Shaman heads for the underground garage.

If the Chancery's central court is a pleasant urban space scaled to house humans, it is also a very effective stage on which Erickson has placed a number of sets designed to enliven the action and relate to various architectural elements in the immediate neighborhood. There is a row of six, 80-foot-tall "classical" columns, quite obviously made of cast aluminium, and just as obviously not supporting a glass canopy that protects the ceremonial entrance to the Chancery. "They stand as symbolic devices," says Erickson, who wasn't about to hold up his buildings on a classical colonnade. "I couldn't bring myself to be serious when regulations required condescension to the Orders," he explains. "I wanted soaring, precipitously hovering cantilevers that defied the logic of their support—something that breathed courage, expansiveness, spaciousness—that is, the qualities of our land."

To the south of this faux-colonnade is a rotunda of 12 columns (10 for the Canadian provinces and two for the territories); this piece of urban stage set, placed in a second, circular pool, sends a friendly greeting to the Federal Trade Commission's 1937 rotunda down the street. Other little touches of theatricality—more steps, more ramps, some real columns to support those soaring cantilevers, and a small entrance pavilion to mark the Chancery's front door—complete the stage. It will make a fine place for ceremonies and celebrations, and for people who want to watch the next presidential inauguration from the best vantage point on Pennsylvania Avenue.

The interiors are just as pleasant as the Chancery's great outdoor anteroom. They are the work of Erickson and his frequent collaborator, Francisco Kripacz, and they are as elegant as any interiors done in Washington in some time. What makes the designers' work in this field—and in this building—so memorable is the way in which Erickson and Kripacz collaborate with other artists and designers: the auditorium, for example, is as much the work of the Montreal fiber artist Mariette Rousseau-Vermette, who designed the stunning curtain of polished and brushed anodized aluminum strips, as it is the work of Erickson, Kripacz, and their lighting consultants, who were responsible for the side walls of perforated silver-painted steel that conceal an intricate system of backlighting. And throughout the Chancery there are works of art by Canadian painters and sculptors that could easily hold their own in Pei's galleries across the street: the "Inukshuk" by the Inuit artist David Ruben Piqtoukun—a abstraction of Ontario stone piled up in the huge form of a traditional Eskimo marker—has one of the finest works of contemporary sculpture in Washington. This work, together with Bill Reid's spirit canoe and several other commissioned pieces, make the Canadian Chancery an unusual repository of contemporary art.

The interiors are impressive for several other reasons as well. They were designed not merely to serve their assigned functions, but also to frame some of the finest views of Washington to be had in this part of the capital. The Ambassador's office and terrace, which are located on the top floor above the Rotunda of the Provinces, has one of the best available views of the Capitol, and there are equally impressive views from other interior spaces of the National Gallery and the Mall.

But what strikes one most forcibly about these interiors—and about the building as a whole—is the excellence of the workmanship throughout. The general contractor, the George Hyman Construction Company, worked with several Canadian subs and suppliers, and handled much of the basic work (especially the concrete) itself. Sandy Morgan, Hyman's project manager, is enthusiastic about the job. "The architects and the owners were the best I've ever worked with," he says. "They were very knowledgeable about every aspect of the work." Morgan is especially happy with the quality of the concrete, which is half flag cement, half portland cement, and turns from gray to deep blue-green during the process of hydration, and then back to gray. The architectural concrete, according to Morgan, sets a new standard, and its lightly sandblasted finish turned out especially well. "Arthur Erickson told me that if he'd known how good a job we could do, he wouldn't have used stone to face the building," Morgan says proudly.

The Ontario stone that was used on the Chancery facade is whiter than the Tennessee marble used by Pei on the National Gallery. Unlike the Tennessee marble, which required elaborate neoprene gaskets to take care of expansion and contraction, the Canadian stone has caulked joints that handle the problem, and is held in place with stainless-steel anchors.

Inside, the workmanship is even more impressive. Door frames, hardware, the detailing of the glass screens and doors (part clear, part sandblasted)—everything is first-rate. Although some of the details were dictated by security considerations, they rarely intrude. "The architects built a lot of security—steel mesh, and so on—into interior 'security walls,'" says Morgan. "They did it very well—you can hardly see it." The only exception is the glass used throughout the exterior: it is 1/4-inch, bullet-resistant plate at the entry level, which has a green tint. To match it, Erickson decided to stick with the same tint in the upper-floor windows as well.

Most Washingtonians seem to like the new Chancery, though some critics of Postmodern persuasion have predictably grumbled. They may soon have even more reason to complain: the West Germans have commissioned O. M. Ungers to design their Ambassador's new residence, and Spain has commissioned Rafael Moneo to design the new residence for its Ambassador. Washington's architectural establishment, which seems largely mired in the 19th century, is concerned. With this splendid new building on Pennsylvania Avenue joining one of Pei's finest works across the street, it should be.
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Window walls slide open in warm weather, blurring the separation between a general-purpose room (top left) and the embassy's spacious courtyard (below). The ambassador's private dining room (bottom left) commands striking views of the U.S. Capitol from the embassy's sixth floor.

Public spaces in the 287,000-square-foot building include a 171-seat theater (opposite), which, together with an art gallery, has a separate entrance facing Pennsylvania Avenue.
SOUTHERN TRADITIONS

Preservation efforts in today’s South show new interest in 20th-century landmarks, as well as earlier ones.

Skyscrapers and swimming pools don’t naturally come to mind when talking about historic preservation in the South. But as the 20th century enters its final decade, many people are rediscovering the exceptional structures built in the region between the two world wars. The largest number of these landmarks sprang up in Florida, where a real-estate boom created a host of new millionaires and attracted the attention of quite a few old ones too. The prevailing notion in Florida during the Roaring Twenties was that money was meant to be displayed. As a result, mansions, office towers, even municipal facilities exhibited lavish attention to detail and ornament.

Perhaps because most of Florida was so new, some of the people who built there in the 20s liked to give the impression of age. Denman Fink, the artist behind the Venetian Pool in Coral Gables (2, right), went so far as to rough up the stucco finish of his buildings so they would seem old from the very beginning. Schultze and Weaver, the architects of Miami’s Freedom Tower (originally the Miami Daily News tower), took a less eccentric approach, modeling their building (1) after one of Europe’s 12th-century masterpieces, the Giralda tower in Seville. And Jacksonville architect Harold Saxelbye borrowed from the Spanish Renaissance and Baroque eras in creating the duPont mansion that now serves as a yacht club (opposite). But no one in this land of sunshine and easy money took himself too seriuously. A bit of fantasy touches all of these projects.

Preservation architects must be good detectives. When original drawings and documentation aren’t available, they work from clues: paint chips, old photographs, and fragments. In the case of the Howard Memorial Library in New Orleans (3), architect Errol Barron had to do all that, plus answer the classic question: Who did it? H. H. Richardson or his successor firm, Shepley, Rutan, and Coolidge? After visiting the five other libraries Richardson designed in his career, Barron concluded that the master himself was responsible for the building. Although Richardson was born in New Orleans, his architecture reflected Northern attitudes—brooding, dark, and powerful. The fun-loving natives of his home town never truly appreciated the muscular Romanesque Revival library he left for them on Lee Circle, and a series of owners abused the building with unsympathetic remodelings. Restoring the spectacular reading room, while also linking the library to a corporate headquarters being carved out of a converted warehouse next door, has been a delicate (but rewarding) task for Barron.

Adapting old buildings for new uses might often be likened to putting a square peg into a round hole. James Glave, though, got the right fit when he converted seven rowhouses in Richmond into an inn (4). In fact, he changed the floor plans so little that if the owners so desired, they could easily convert the project back to townhouses.

CLIFFORD A. PEARSON

Newly restored structures in the South include Miami’s 1925 Freedom Tower (1), the 1926 Venetian Pool in Coral Gables (2), the 1889 Howard Memorial Library in New Orleans (3), and Linden Row in Richmond (4), built from 1845 to 1853.
Epping Forest Yacht Club
Jacksonville, Florida
Pappas Associates, Architects

Built in 1926 as a second home for captain of industry Alfred I. duPont, Epping Forest today serves a number of skippers. In converting the mansion into a yacht club, architect Ted Pappas restored its stucco exterior, while adding a new dining area on the rear of the house (top right). To keep the addition as light as possible, Pappas roofed it with a laminated vinyl fabric and opened it up with large arched windows echoing those found on the old building. The new dining area, though, required moving the existing terrace back several feet. Fire codes demanded a new exit, so Pappas built an imposing stair reminiscent of an existing one in the garden.

The project also included converting an old carriage house into a bakery, restoring a wheel house, and building a new fitness and swimming facility (above right).

C. A. P.
Rebars and L-brackets secure ornamentation such as obelisks (above right) and finials that had been removed in the 1920s. The lobby interior and a coffered ceiling above the staircase leading to the banquet hall (opposite, left and top right) were cleaned and repainted. Vaulting in the 575-seat banquet hall (opposite lower right) conceals new air conditioning.

Freedom Tower
Miami, Florida
R. J. Heisebottle Architects

After restoring Miami's venerable Freedom Tower to the condition it had been in before it was last abandoned, architect Richard Heisebottle received quite a few accolades. The building's stucco exterior had been repaired, its original wood windows had been re-created, and its 800-pound front doors rebuilt. The 17-story structure once again looked like the Biscayne Boulevard landmark that many Miamians remembered. But Heisebottle wasn't finished. His goal was to turn the clock back all the way back to 1925, the year the tower first opened.

Today the Freedom Tower is something of a surprise—an old friend that looks so good we hardly recognize it. What is new for most people in Miami are many of the elaborate details, especially the 73 obelisks and eight finials that had been removed over the years. Much of this ornament had been
stripped off in 1927 after a hurricane raised doubts about its safety. "The obelisks and finials were the building's last crown," says Heisenbottle.

Originally designed by Schultz and Weaver as an interpretation of the Giralda bell tower in Seville, the building served as home to the Miami Daily News until 1957 and then as a processing center for Latin American refugees in the 1960s (when it earned the name Freedom Tower). From 1974 until renovation began in 1987, the building lay vacant and prey to vandals. The tower now awaits office tenants, while the main floor serves as a banquet facility.

Using original drawings and old photographs, Heisenbottle repaired (and in some cases reconstructed) exterior features such as a cast-iron transom and balcony, quatrefoil windows, and the copper-clad dome and cupola. Inside the building, a destroyed mural was replaced with a replica, new mechanical systems were tucked behind the banquet hall's vaults, and steel was repaired throughout the structure.

C. A. P.
Once dubbed "the world's most beautiful swimming hole," the free-form Venetian Pool was created from a quarry, whose rock was used to build many of the neighboring houses. Exterior materials, such as the local stone, brick, and stucco used on the towers (top), have been restored to their original "aged" condition. Potted trees were added to the dining terrace (above right) for extra shade.

Venetian Pool
Coral Gables, Florida
H. Carlton Decker and Associates, Architects

When artist Denman Fink and architect Phineas Paist created a public swimming facility for the new town of Coral Gables in 1926, they made sure it was instantly old. From day one the stucco seemed worn, revealing just the right amount of rough stone and brick. Mortar for the terrazzo in the courtyard varied in hue, as if the floor had been laid and patched at different times. And each of those brightly colored light poles leaned at a slightly different angle.

"It was a little bit of Disney, even back then," says Carl Decker, the architect in charge of the pool's restoration and renovation. Maintaining the carefully disheveled character of the place was important to Decker. In repairing stucco, for example, he re-created the original weathered look by using layers of different hues—from blush to beige.
The pool, which had been carved from an abandoned rock quarry, had aged quite well and required few major repairs. What prompted the city to spend $2.3 million for renovation work was the facility’s wasteful “dump-and-fill” system, which drained 820,000 gallons of water regularly (daily, in the summer) into the city’s sewer and then refilled the pool with water from a subterranean aquifer. The popularity, though, of the cool water resulting from this practice and the fact that this was the last dump-and-fill pool built in Florida pushed the architect to find a solution that would maintain the old ways without wasting water. Decker’s answer was an injection-well system that recycles pool water back into the aquifer.

Another major goal of the renovation was making the entire facility accessible to the handicapped, many of whom are devoted users of the pool. To this end, Decker added an automatic lift for wheelchair access to the pool, as well as ramps at three different locations.

Over the years the pool and its surrounding buildings had been subjected to a variety of changes, some of them unsympathetic to the fanciful character of the project. Returning the facility to its original state involved removing a diving platform and restoring the pool’s free-form edge, tearing out concrete and repairing broken floor tiles in a small octagonal room called the aquarium, and restoring tiles on the small bridge to the swimming pool’s concrete island.

Decker also replaced all of the mechanical and electrical systems, repaired the wrought-iron fencing around the perimeter of the site, restored a trellis behind the women’s locker room, and brought in Edward D. Stone, Jr., to bring the landscaping closer to what it had been originally.

Not long after restoration began, a fire broke out, destroying the men’s locker room and part of the loggia. As a result, the locker room and three of the loggia’s four bays were rebuilt. Today the pool and its accompanying buildings once again project an image that could have come from an Italian watercolor: romantic, soft around the edges, and inviting.

C. A. P.
Howard Memorial Library
New Orleans, Louisiana
Errol Barron/Michael Toups Architects

Abused, neglected, its patrimony questioned, the Howard Memorial Library has suffered a history of bad luck. No sooner had Charles Turner Howard conceived the idea of a new library open to the public, than he was thrown from his horse and killed in 1885. Howard's daughter kept the project alive by acquiring the designs of another deceased man, Henry Hobson Richardson. Those plans came from a passed-over entry to a competition for a library in East Saginaw, Michigan—one of the last projects Richardson worked on before his death in 1886—and were adjusted to the new site on Lee Circle by Richardson's successor firm, Shepley, Rutan, and Coolidge.

Changes in Richardson's design included expanding the size of the building by about a third, adding balconies to the book room, and altering the fenestration between book stacks; nev-
An adjacent warehouse (opposite top) is being converted into a corporate headquarters, with new balconies made from oilfield casings. The library's lawn was lowered to reveal the building's granite string course (opposite bottom). The reading room (photos this page) has been restored to its original condition.

Nevertheless, the tripartite plan and front elevation, as well as the use of materials and handling of details, help establish this building as the sixth and last in a line of Richardson-designed libraries. While some experts still question whether the building should be attributed to Richardson or his successors (the July 1896 issue of RECORD gave credit to Shepley, Rutan, and Coolidge), Errol Barron, the architect who headed the building's recent restoration, has no doubt that the master's hand comes through the strongest.

After first being abandoned and then falling victim to a fire and a series of brutal renovations, the library was rescued by oil tycoon Patrick Taylor, who will use the building for corporate functions and offices. Taylor's first priority was restoring the exterior of the library and the interiors of the reading room, delivery room, and anteroom. Damaged mortar joints were replaced, the surface of the red stone was cleaned with low-pressure water treatment, iron gratings over windows were removed, and new 3-inch-thick solid-core oak doors were rebuilt for the entry. Inside the building, Barron removed partitions separating the reading room from the anteroom and delivery room so the spaces flow into one another as Richardson had intended. Heightening the great sweep of these spaces is a newly restored pine floor, which had been covered by concrete for many years. Workmen also removed all wall paneling not original to the building and replaced it with new quarter-sawn oak panels. The dramatic beamed ceiling of the reading room was stripped of paint and carefully refinished.

All this work would have been to little effect if Taylor hadn't agreed to demolish part of a 20th-century annex blocking two of the reading room's four dormers. With all the dormers open, light now streams in, bringing the great space to life. Barron, who is converting two adjacent warehouses into a headquarters for Taylor Energy, is also restoring the beamed ceiling over what once was the book room. Two floors added in the 1950s for offices, however, now separate the original room from its ceiling, a situation that may eventually be rectified. C. A. P.
The restoration of the Franklin Street facade (above and right) involved returning small-paned windows to the rowhouses and repointing bricks. In the back, the architects rebuilt the three-story galleries (opposite, top left and right) and extended them six feet. A guest suite (opposite lower left) occupies a double parlor on the ground floor.

Linden Row Inn
Richmond, Virginia
Glave Newman Anderson, Architects

Walk along Franklin Street in Richmond and just eight blocks past Thomas Jefferson’s Virginia State Capitol you’ll find a row of seven Greek Revival townhouses that has conceded little to the passing of time. Doric-columned porticoes, wrought-iron railings, and vertically proportioned windows appear exactly as they did in the 1840s and early 1850s when the buildings were first constructed. What has changed is only their function: instead of serving as private residences, these structures now work as a 73-room inn.

Thanks to the efforts of Mary Wingfield Scott, Richmond’s pioneering preservationist earlier in this century, the Linden Row townhouses have been protected as a complete set of architectural works. Scott acquired the buildings over a period of time, then bequeathed them to the Historic Richmond Foundation, which
searched hard for a way to pay for repairs without compromising the project's architectural integrity. The solution lay in a proposal from a private developer, Southeastern Historic Properties, to convert the buildings into a small hotel without changing any of the room configurations or stairways.

The key to the plan was rebuilding the galleries on the rear of the buildings. Architect James Glave extended the galleries six extra feet from the building wall so new bathrooms could be built off each guest room. The galleries now tie the townhouses together and serve as both a vertical and horizontal circulation system for the entire hotel. This scheme allowed Glave to retain the double parlors on the ground floor as suites and use bedrooms above as standard guest rooms. Original moldings, fireplaces, marble mantels, and plaster cornices were restored throughout the project. Existing interior doors were also retained, although extra panels had to be added to provide adequate fire protection.

Guest rooms are furnished with antiques from the late Empire and Victorian periods, and most of the gasoliers and mantel mirrors come from Mary Scott's collection, which the Historic Richmond Foundation has put on loan to the inn.

Glave also converted three dependencies in back of the townhouses into guest rooms, built small additions on the east and west ends of the site to serve as meeting and service rooms, and moved an existing service building along First Street (the western edge of the project) into the hotel as a restaurant. The two-story dependencies and outbuildings help define small courtyards for outdoor dining and relaxing. Glave Newman Anderson, which served as landscape architects, repaved the courtyards with brick, re-created a small fountain, and brought in new trees and plantings. No parking was needed on site, as a nearby lot serves the needs of guests and employees. Construction costs for the 49,000-square-foot project came to $4 million.

Although the Foundation has transferred ownership of the property to the developer, it retains design control and a right of first refusal if the inn is ever sold.

C. A. P.
"GRANDS PROJETS"

Monumentality and the machinery of state: François Mitterrand’s Parisian monuments symbolize the French nation’s political agenda.

In what is the most lavish state-sponsored building program since the remodeling of Paris by Baron Haussmann, the French capital, under the Socialist President, François Mitterrand, has erected nine major cultural facilities—and for the Ministry of Finance, displaced by renovations at the Louvre—a gleaming new headquarters. The competition-winning schemes include the Grand Louvre by I. M. Pei and Partners; the Great Arch of La Défense, intended as a futuristic center for electronic communications, by the late Johann Otto von Spreckelsen (completed after his death by the firm of Paul Andreu); the Bastille Opera by Carlos Ott; the Orsay Museum by ACT Architecture and Gae Aulenti; the Museum of Science and Industry by Adrien Fainsilber; the Ministry of Finance by Paul Chemetov and Borja Huidobro; La Villette Park by Bernard Tschumi; the City of Music by Christian de Portzamparc; and the Arab World Institute by Jean Nouvel. Now that some of the Grands Projets are finished and others are nearing completion, architectural historian and critic William J. R. Curtis steps back and considers them in a longer perspective than that allowed by the hoopla surrounding the bicentennial of the French Revolution last July.—M. F. S.

"Architecture has its political use, public buildings being the ornament of the country; it establishes a nation, draws people and commerce, and makes people love their native country, which passion is the origin of all great actions in a commonwealth." Sir Christopher Wren, Parentalia

The Grands Projets are buildings that can be examined in a number of ways—as additions to the urban landscape of Paris, as new social functions, as displays of technical virtuosity, as political icons—but they are also modern monuments, and as such reveal contemporary stands on both the extension of the Modernist tradition and the representation of ideas of the state. As public buildings they have long-term responsibilities to their urban settings and so must be assessed by standards that go beyond transient fashions. It is necessary to ask what the Grands Projets contribute to the lasting architecture of the times.

The contemporary designer of monuments does not share the certainties of the cathedral builders who encapsulated shared beliefs in an accepted set of symbolic forms; nor is the scale of the modern industrial metropolis on his side, for there is no longer a direct correlation between civic importance and size. In fact, there are few rules governing the architectural appearance of institutions today, and fewer ideas that seem worthy the time and expense of monumental expression. Some will argue that the contemporary city is no longer capable of carrying significant public "messages" because of an overload of media and electronic information. The very word "monumentality" acquired negative connotations with the abuses of the totalitarian regimes in the 1930s, which contributed to the devaluation of Classical conventions. Modern architecture, in turn, cast aside many traditional devices of rhetoric and symbolism. None of this has stopped the creation of a viable tradition of modern monumentality, including the likes of Le Corbusier’s Parliament at Chandigarh or Jørn Utzon’s Opera in Sydney, but it serves to remind one that the construction of civic monuments in the late 20th century is inherently problematic.

Throughout history monuments have been used to commemorate great historical events, to give concrete shape to communal myths, and to legitimize power over individuals or elites. A monument of lasting architectural quality tends to sublimate transient ideologies to lend them a permanent form. Monuments have a propaganda function authenticating the new in terms of the old and proposing current policy as the inevitable path of national evolution. In the modern nation state, history is sometimes rewritten in stone or steel to further consolidation and unity.

The Parisian Grands Projets fit into a number of French national agenda: the celebration of the bicentennial of the French Revolution, the assertion of a centralized and statist program of modernization, a bid for a dominant role in the new Europe and, not least, the tangible expression of President Mitterrand’s own historical ambitions. The current Socialist regime wishes to prove to the world that its particular style of state regulation does not impede economic growth and allows for an enlightened public program of culture and education. Of course, it so happens that the Left is in power for the commemoration of the Declaration of the Rights of Man, which is publicized as a charter of universal human relevance with, we are told, an inevitable future trajectory in world events. History is thus telescoped to suggest that the current Left is the heir to all that is most idealistic about the revolution.

Centralization and myths of modernity

These messages are transmitted through a rhetoric combining themes that cut across political divisions such as "la gloire de la Patrie" and the international prestige of French technology, with others of a populist kind to do with the creation of a more open society in which old barriers are removed. The technological fetishism seems to have to do with the modernization of the French infrastructure in preparation for the "age of information": the "clean" industrial revolution of high technology. Science, industrial technique, and the arts are bundled together in this mythology as if they were all part of some national plan of renewal and social emancipation. It seems that this is one of those "popular" regenerations to be engineered from above.

Centralization is an old French theme. Under both monarchy and republic, Paris has affirmed its place as head of national decision, head of empire, and core of national memory. As the most recent monumental arrivals, the Grands Projets are able to draw upon long-existing patterns of association in the cityscape, which itself emerges as a palimpsest of past state decrees and political symbols. These days, the centralization of cultural and political power is restated in terms of a mechanistic or, more
The Arab World Institute by Jean Nouvel.

Canopy beneath the great Arch of La Défense.
Throughout history monuments have been used to commemorate great historical events, to give concrete shape to communal myths, and to legitimize power.

The historic axis: the Pyramid

The Grand Louvre and the Arch of La Défense are linked to one another by the historic national axis that runs from the Louvre, ancient seat of power, to the postwar skyscrapers of La Défense. Johann Otto von Spreckelsen's flat-topped version of an urban gate is a gesture of continuation—emphasizing its 30-story opening—rather than of termination. Thus, the Pyramid and the Arch of La Défense are contrasting and complementary, each the embodiment of a primary and ideal architectural form—pyramid and cube—rich in possible historical resonances, and both of them are expressed as high-tech, minimalist, abstract objects. But there the similarities cease, for the pyramid is a transparent tomb of past glories in which the main axis is vertical, underlined by the colonnade below the apex where the victory of Samothrace may one day stand. The Arch is a sort of window into an unknown future that science may help to discover and determine. The pedagogy is also different. Pei's design belongs to an American corporate lineage of mechanical slickness. Spreckelsen's design is a transformation of themes derived from Le Corbusier, Kahn, and Utzon. The Arch, arguably, is stronger in its form and deeper in its meaning.

One may not go all the way with the French popular press in attributing Pharaonic aspirations to President Mitterrand, but the fact is that pyramids are bound to evoke both funerary associations and imperial ambitions. Cognoscenti will no doubt wish to link Pei's project to the glazed pyramidal visions of the Soviet architect of the 1920s, Ivan Leonidov, to certain images of Claude-Nicolas Ledoux, or to Napoleon's Egyptian adventures. Pei's precise intentions in using a pyramidal form remain veiled, but he probably thought of it as a primary volume of no specific stylistic association.

The view from the new concourse up through the net of steel and glass toward the sky and the adjoining encrusted classical facades of the "old" Louvre is memorable, although the long lines of people outside suggest that there is a bottleneck on the landing or the stair. Pei's architectural language tends to rely upon a simple geometrical diagram in plan that allies to impeccable craft in materials, but a middle scale of articulation is missing here: in the lobby, for example, there are some hesitant expressions of load and support, while the hovering soffit crash-es uncomfortably into the central pillar. The slender spiral stair is scarcely adequate to the ritual of descending beneath a pyramid, especially just after leaving one of the greatest urban axes in the world, and the cylindrical elevator looks as if it must have been slipped in by Jacques Tati to undermine any chance whatsoever of seriousness. Similarly, the escalators suddenly transfer us from the gravity of the public building to the gitzt of the chic international hotel.

Of Mies van der Rohe it was sometimes said that he selected a limited number of problems and solved them thoroughly while leaving others out of account; a similar point can be made about Pei's Louvre. A pyramid is symmetrical in every direction, but the site is subject to uneven pressures from the context; even the route into the structure involves a frontal approach, a diagonal transition, and a spiraling descent. As for the crucial joint between the axis of the pyramid and that of the Tuileries-Champs Élysées, Pei simply masks the problem with a traffic island and this is not even to mention the other slight deflection in the immediate vicinity, that onto the axis of the Cour Carrée. This variety of internal and external pressures might have led to an enriching set of counter-themes in the architecture, but such issues were out of Pei's range. The result is a simple form and a one-dimensional idea: a building that is elegant but without conceptual richness: direct, but without the timeless presence of the classic work.

Significant content, significant form: the Arch

Of all the Grands Projets, Spreckelsen's Arch of La Défense is probably the strongest formally. To maintain visual tension in such a huge object is extremely difficult. Life is given to the form by shifting it slightly from the approach axis and playing it against the curved roof of an adjacent building. This move also accommodates subterranean rail lines, echoes the shift at the Louvre end, and gestures across the rooftops toward the Eiffel Tower. Moreover, despite the minimalism of its forms, the Arch compresses a number of associations appropriate to its original function as a "carrefour" or crossroads of international communications. (Now it will be used for commercial offices and parts of the Ministry of Housing.) The triumphal-arch idea has been recast as a huge window into the future, a vast cube of air through which delicate but invisible webs of electronic communication may be imagined to pass. But this arch is also a huge shelter under which all the peoples of the world may meet in a spirit of cooperation and exchange. The sharp detailing of smooth glass panels and white marble have the intended effect of nobility and precision, while also alluding to the microchip. The grid of openings facing into the covered space, on the other hand, possesses overtones of classical coffering.

Spreckelsen's concept offers a grandiose assertion of confidence in information technology, and tries to do for its epoch what the Eiffel Tower did for the age of steam and steel. It will be interesting to see whether or not the Arch succeeds in captur-ing the imagination of the general public, for in France there is a genuine pride in such technological feats as the Concorde airplane, the Ariane rocket, the TVG superfast train, and the domesticated Minitel computer. The Arch is about such things, and might almost be a Richard Serra sculpture constructed by Aerospatiale. There is something of that heady vision of science which one finds in E. L. Boullée's sublime monument to Newton which, with a gyroscope suspended inside an awesome sphere, is
Arch of La Défense by Johann Otto von Spreckelsen.
The great Arch is set within a huge and varied plaza.

Pei's Pyramid framed by Louvre archway.
Staircase inside the Pyramid.
The Bastille Opera by Carlos Ott.

The Orsay Museum by ACT Architecture and Gae Aulenti.

The Ministry of Finance by Paul Chemetov and Borja Huidobro.
an emblem of nature's universal laws. Spreckelsen's building would have been bland and overwhelming without its delicate countertheme: glass clouds that hover above this so-called crossroads of the world. The Arch avoids both the vapid historical quotations of Postmodern revivalism, and the empty Neomodernism of so much recent architecture. It blends together history and modernity, the referential and the abstract. The Arch transforms its various Modern sources—Le Corbusier's sheltering parasol in the Chandigarh High Court, Kahn's structural geometry, Utzon's metaphorical clouds floating over assemblies of people—into an authentic new statement of a classic power. The Arch thus constitutes a vital addition to the tradition of modern monumentality.

Some Grands Projets appear flaccid or confused

Unfortunately, the qualities of the Arch are not to be found in the majority of the other Grands Projets. The poor Bastille Opera, by Carlos Ott, which has had so much opprobrium heaped upon it since it was first selected, illustrates what happens when an effective transformation is not achieved. This ungracefully lumpy, neomodernist palette, a piling-up of inherited elements that are reused without visual tension or philosophical conviction, and which are therefore devalued to the level of platitudes without resonance. It is to be hoped that the auditoria of this 'peoples' opera,' intended to supplement Tony Garnier's good but inadequate 19th-century structure, will at least function well. The false rhetoric begins with an ungainly portal and continues up to a symmetrical cleavage in the drum. Presumably, all the screen walls applied to the outside have to do with linking institution to city, but even this theme gets lost in a welter of arbitrary compositional devices. For lack of any real language of detail, Ott has plastered the entire thing with squares.

One wonders whether grandiosity and inflation of scale were explicit requirements of the architectural programs, or whether the designers themselves decided that state projects had to be that way. Gae Aulenti's stone-clad galleries on the ground floor of the Orsay Museum are heavily funereal, and the structural gymnastics of the Museum of Science and Industry, designed by Adrien Fainsilber, seem overinflated. At times, the obsessive manipulation of technological imagery takes on a science fiction or populist character, as in the geode of the Museum of Science and Industry, which evokes world's fair architecture.

One senses a lack of new vitality in several of the Grands Projets, even when the design strategies behind them are reasonable. If the Arch poses as an updated version of a city gate, then the Ministry of Finance at the other end of the city seems to combine other urban images such as the wall, the viaduct, and the bridge. Chemetov and Huidoño's project is now well on the way to completion and will serve the bureaucracy that was once housed in a wing of the Louvre. The designers were faced with the tricky problem of inserting a vast amount of office space into a linear strip running between the Gare de Lyon and the Seine, but without resorting to a tower. They also needed to find some way of giving the Ministry a suitably honorific image to distinguish it from a mere commercial structure. Their generating idea was of a bridge—a megastructure on the scale of railway lines, the Eiffel tower, or the Bir Hakim viaduct at the western end of the city.

The architects have made very big forms which have to be unified and broken down to human scale if the result is not to be overbearing or lacking in coherence. The designers of the Minis-

Symbols or signs?

The Parc de La Villette offered the opportunity to explore a new landscape vocabulary and an architecture lighter and more playful in tone. It is still too early to gauge how Bernard Tschumi's little squadron of red cubic toys will look when the trees are in and the paths are finished. It is, therefore, difficult to say if this will be 'a new vision of the urban park for the 21st century,' as the brochures claim. For the moment it is clear that the designer has rejected postcard images in favor of a continuous field of regularly articulated space. Tschumi employs variations on set formal themes independent of function, another feature of contemporary architectural thought. La Villette has received some notoriety in the intellectual boudoirs of Paris and New York under the trendy heading of Deconstructivism, but as no two people can agree about the meaning of this term, it is probably more useful to point out that Tschumi has used old-fashioned composition along intuitive lines, and that he is much more of an auteur than he may admit. His follies obviously constitute witty revivals and marmorizations of Agit-Prop Constructiv-

ist stage sets from the Soviet avant-garde of the 1920s. This little flirtation with historical revolutionary imagery must be especially delicious to members of the "caviar left," particularly those who have absorbed enough of cultish literary criticism to sense here a "discourse on the impossibility of discourse" that effectively reduces a past polemic to a present parody. But, as for the good folks of La Villette, they may just want a spot to sit, to linger; to unwind from cramped urban conditions.

Porte-Mare's City of Music introduces yet another strategy for dealing with the complexities of a large urban complex: that of fragmentation. The architect has broken the program—which includes a national music conservatory, a concert hall, an instrument gallery, and the Music Education Institute—into pieces, then reassembled them in a pattern of radial and orthogonal lines of circulation, with the intention of mediating between forces in the urban context, old structures on the La Villette site, and the adjacent geometries of Tschumi's plan. To judge by the drawings, this is an architect who uses metaphors as generators. A sinuous pergola behind the conservatory, for example, is supposed to be a musical analogy (a rather belabored one at that). The spiral in the plan of the concert hall, which gradually opens out towards the park, is supposedly an "acoustic" form inspired by the shape of the ear. The resulting plan forms, which include ovals and angled flanges, are juxtaposed in a collage which is nonetheless given a monumental treatment through the amplification of structure. One problem with this approach is that a geometrical theme chosen for associational reasons may severely inhibit the functioning of spaces so determined. It will be interesting to see how an oval-shaped performance space,
with seats on all sides, really works. Another problem is even more fundamental, and is contained in Le Corbusier’s pithy phrase “the illusion of plans”: at this stage, where only part of one of the buildings is standing, it is hard to say whether Portzamparc possesses the necessary skill to translate his agenda into reality.

**Technological romanticism versus the pragmatic**

The Arab World Institute by Jean Nouvel and others is a building that is resolutely involved with mechanistic metaphors, analogies, images, and details. Everything possible has been done to avoid a condescending, “orientalist” statement in crystallizing a program that is to do with delicate issues concerning relations between France and several Arab countries, some of them ex-colonies, others essential suppliers of French petroleum. In its relentless affirmation of technical progress, it incorporates, here and there, hermetic allusions to Arab and Islamic themes. A spiral tower of books in the library, visible behind the glazing of the west end of the main slab, is an iconic window between cultures with this machine for enlightenment. The primary mass of the building curves in response to the sweep of the Seine, and a thin slab picks up the street geometry. A court is dropped in between these primary elements and opens out toward the nearby cathedral of Notre Dame by means of a narrow slot.

The Arab World Institute draws upon a worthy pedigree of buildings combining slab and attached curve (Le Corbusier’s Pa- vilion Suisse of 1930, for example) and contains an elaborate repertoire of stylistic devices derived from the High Tech typical of Norman Foster and Richard Rogers. The building certainly has its fine moments, especially in the quality of light diffused by its multiple transparencies of glass. But despite all the dazzling reflections, the shimmering materials, the clever visual distortions of the main slab, and the celebration of technology, the Institute still leaves the impression that it is unduly obsessed with style, not to say styling. The result is a cosmetic collage of mechanistic images and Arabizing references that lack resonance and depth of meaning. Moreover, certain elementary problems—such as the entrances, the meeting with the ground, and the internal circulation—have been left unsolved. The building reads as if it had been thought “outside-in”: a diagram that responds to the riverside but in which the relationship between internal spaces and external volumes is indecisive.

Even the external moves of urban scenography raise questions. By pushing the building up toward the busy street that runs along the Seine, Nouvel and his co-designers have opened up a huge and vacant plaza on the south side that has not a trace of urbanity about it. About all one can do in this space is dodge the Mercedes of visiting dignitaries or stand gazing up at the slick curtainwall with its mechanistic mushroomyias. By now it should be clear that these extravagant gadgets are a solution to a problem of the architect’s own making, since it was his choice to present this vast area of glass to the sun. Absurdly, it seems that the spaces behind have to use electric lighting in the daytime!

**The quest for authentic monumentality**

The rhetoric used to promote the *Grands Projets* has lingered on their progressive tone, their commemoration of the Revolution, their contributions to modernization and the public good. But their reality is more complex. It is impossible to dissociate them from the massive centralization of power and the attempt to legitimize this power through the use of grandiose architectural statements.

As icons glorifying a centralized vision of culture, the *Grands Projets* inevitably raise difficult questions about the allocation of resources and the sacralization of technology. France is a country rich in diverse regions, but their differences, their problems, and their opportunities tend to be dwarfed by the obsession with the center. From the vantage point of the provinces, the *Grands Projets* may seem remote extravagances created by a class of intellectual bureaucrats intent upon its own proliferation. The supposed automatic benefits of high technology here implied may obscure basic conflicts between industrial society and the natural environment. As for the celebration of democratic ideals, there are already vociferous critics who reject this technocratic giantism as inappropriate to the principle of government “by the people, for the people.”

But there can be no doubt that this extraordinary cultural experiment in support of the arts, education, and museums by the state is without current parallel. At a time when English architecture (with royal assent) has regressed into revivalist sentimentality, and American architecture continues to indulge in the superficial packaging of Postmodern classicism, this official support of an essentially forward-looking esthetic deserves recognition. The obsession with mechanistic analogies—the cool hardware of the Arch, the humorous red contraptions at La Villette, the steel network of the Pyramid, the engineering romanticism of the Arab World Institute—reflects the leanings of a technocratic mandarin class preoccupied with the emergence of a new society of services and information, and with finding a “historic compromise” between the technical and scientific ingenuity of capitalism and a degree of centralized state control.

Such patronage and social imagination are extremely rare, but in and of themselves are no guarantee of lasting architectural value. Bureaucratization of culture can very quickly become the enemy of art. The worst of the *Grands Projets* are cases in point with their bombastic gestures, intellectual pretension, ignorance of human scale, and lack of symbolic substance. There has always been more to modern architecture than technical virtuosity, structural gymnastics, shiny finishes, and style.

Profound architecture crystallizes the aspirations of its society and, with durable forms that are capable of outliving the initial conditions of creation, transmutes myths into symbols. No doubt certain of the *Grands Projets* will settle into the public mind as icons, just as did the Eiffel Tower. But dramatic images and durable architectural quality are not one and the same thing. Of all the *Grands Projets*, it is the Arch that stands out as having combined symbolic substance, formal power, and technical elegance. It attempts to give to the France of the 1990s what Le Corbusier gave to India in the 1950s and Kahn to East Pakistan (now Bangladesh) in the 1960s: a timeless, modern civic architecture. Meanwhile, the other sleek machines may serve their society and express the aims of the state, but they do not take the art of architecture to the highest levels.

The Museum of Science and Industry by Fainsilber.

The Center of Music by Christian de Portzamparc.

Facade of the Arab World Institute, by Jean Nouvel, overlooking plaza.
Lessons in Civility

Two schools in San Francisco exemplify the multiple challenges of melding new construction and existing buildings into a single entity, making disparate parts into a coherent whole, and inserting new institutional buildings into sensitive—even defensive—residential neighborhoods.

Although the two buildings on the opposite page could scarcely look less alike, they actually have a great deal in common. Both are private elementary schools in San Francisco: the San Francisco Waldorf School (top), by Tanner Leddy Maytum Stacy Architects (formerly Tanner & VanDine), and the San Francisco Day School (bottom), by Simon Martin-Vegue Winkelstein Morris. Both projects incorporate unlike pre-existing buildings: the Waldorf School’s gymnasium was originally a neighborhood market (before photo, above left), while the San Francisco Day School is a remodeling and expansion of an early 20th-century mortuary (above right). Most significantly, however, both schools are in established residential areas—Waldorf is located in Pacific Heights, San Francisco Day School on the edge of the Western Addition—not eager to accept expanding institutional uses in their midst. Taken together, the two schools have a great deal to say about the problems of neighborhood assent and acceptance—issues that have become an integral part of building in dense American cities.

In San Francisco, where the planning process is particularly exhaustive, each neighborhood has a great deal of control over its own destiny, and a single objection can bring a project to a halt for months, if not forever. Clearing a site and building from scratch frequently involves going through a time-consuming regulatory gauntlet, which is perhaps the principal reason that both schools featured here incorporate pre-existing structures. (Waldorf’s expansion, it should be pointed out, did require the removal of an old house, decrepit to the point of near-collapse. Although the city’s landmark commission voted against demolition, it was ultimately overruled by the planning commission, allowing the project to proceed.) Given the neighborhoods’ sensitivity, diplomacy on the part of client and architect played a key role in both projects. It was also a considerable force in each school’s ultimate design.

In situations of this kind, contextualism is not just desirable; it is mandated. At San Francisco Day School in particular, the architects had to begin with a critical analysis of the neighborhood and determinants of its character like scale, colors and materials, and distinctive or repeated forms. For both projects, design became largely a matter of knitting together existing buildings and new construction into a unified, coherent whole, while at the same time finding ways to weave the schools unobtrusively into their larger surroundings.

Seeing a proposal through in this manner is especially demanding, and while any infill project tends to militate against grand gestures and bold statements, it is increasingly the only way of building in tightly packed urban places. Happily, the results, as these two schools impressively reveal, need not bespeak compromise.

DONALD J. CANTY

MARCH 1990
The Waldorf movement was founded in 1913 by Rudolf Steiner, the Austrian-born social philosopher who believed that rigorous exercise of the intellect could assist in the primacy of man's spirituality over materialism. The movement has grown in Europe and the United States, and today encompasses over 300 schools—some located in buildings of strange and compelling forms. Although the San Francisco Waldorf School follows Steiner's philosophy, with its emphasis on creativity and European culture, its buildings are subdued in deference to its Pacific Heights neighbors.

In planning the school's 12,500-square-foot expansion, architects Tanner Leddy Maytum Stacy had to retain a series of hipped-roof classroom buildings on the eastern end of the site (far right in photo above) and remodel a market, located on the parcel's western flank, that extended farther into the site than would have been allowed under San Francisco's new-building code. The architects saved the market's shell, raised its roof, and gave the structure a new glass and metal facade.

Midway between the market and the earlier classroom buildings, the architects inserted a new three-story classroom wing whose quiet bowfront facade could be the frontispiece to a low-rise apartment building. Sheathed in white-painted stucco with pale blue metal trim, this structure houses six pleasant, noninstitutional classrooms. Existing and new elements are joined at ground level by a concrete and metal screen that bears a canopy denoting the school's front door. Beyond the entry a ramp widens into the renovated complex's most surprising space—an attractive rear courtyard (top photo previous page) that has a distinctly European flavor. The courtyard's most striking feature is an elevator tower (like the ramp, required for access by the disabled), which the architects dub the campanile of their "village." A stair wrapped around the tower has a cantilevered landing that can be used as an overlook to the entire complex or as a speaker's platform for outdoor assemblies.

Perhaps the most impressive of the new school's interiors is a dance studio on the
A new public building (far left in large photo opposite) utilizes the shell of an existing market. A 12-sided faceted dome was installed over the market's second floor (below), creating a top-lit circle-in-the-square volume for the study of eurythmy, a dance form developed by Rudolf Steiner. An opening in the room's south wall establishes a visual link between the eurythmy room and a new multipurpose space (bottom).

second story of the market. Topped by a 12-sided faceted dome (above), this round space almost mandates Steiner's favored circle dancing, known as eurythmy. Although the dome unfortunately cannot be seen from the street, it does make a pleasant contribution to the views of neighbors. The other significant space in the former market is a multipurpose room, a cheerful two-story-high space used for a variety of cultural and athletic events. D. J. C.

San Francisco Waldorf School
San Francisco
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FRIENDLY PRESENCE

Mortuaries, says Cathy Simon, make surprisingly good schools. They have many special rooms with high ceilings, decorative elements, and other architectural characteristics that can enrich an academic institution's public areas. They also have ancillary spaces that can be used for a school's administration.

The mortuary that forms the core of the San Francisco Day School was erected in 1933 and is located in an urban gray area, midway between the city’s Western Addition and Richmond districts. The first phase of a three-part project by Simon Martin-Vegue Winkelstein Moris to expand this private elementary school involved remodeling the mortuary's six funerary chapels for special uses shared by the entire school: library, art studio, science and music rooms, a gymnasium.

The second phase called for the addition of a large art studio on the southwest corner of the site (above). This commodious extension gives the school a monumental urban presence on Masonic Avenue, a busy thoroughfare to the west. It also offers a light-filled interior, articulated with a high cross-vaulted ceiling and oversized windows on three sides, that feels as if it might have been a chapel itself at one time. The final, and most ambitious, phase of the expansion encompassed construction of an academic wing on the northern portion of the site, also fronting Masonic (large photo opposite). The new construction essentially surrounds the mortuary on two sides; together, existing and new take up very nearly all of the site, requiring the addition of a rooftop play area over the academic wing.

In order to ensure as much natural light as possible throughout the remodeled complex, the architects organized the school's plan around three outdoor rooms, each exhibiting a distinctive character. Along Masonic, between the academic wing and the new art studio, SMWM created an entry court at the point of the mortuary's original entrance. This welcoming space (bottom photo page 85) features handsomely patterned stone paving, colorful stair-riser tile, and a metal loggia whose delicate

San Francisco Day School
San Francisco
Simon Martin-Vegue Winkelstein Moris, Architects
Among the outdoor spaces that take advantage of San Francisco's benign climate are the school's entry court (left), a large rooftop play area over the new academic wing that provides much-needed recreational space on the school's restricted urban site (below and bottom left), and a long narrow courtyard that forms something of an exterior corridor along the original site of the mortuary's driveway (bottom right).

round-arched form refers to the mortuary's Spanish Colonial Revival architecture. Beyond a graceful loggia is the school's lobby, the only interior space of the mortuary carried over without substantial change. With its dark wood beams and trim and tile floor, this room forms a striking contrast to the new and remodeled quarters beyond.

The second outdoor space is the mortuary's original courtyard, a pleasant windsheilded refuge that has been embellished with plantings arranged around a small fountain. The third, and largest, courtyard was once the driveway used by hearsees entering and leaving the mortuary (far right). Running alongside the chapel-turned-gymnasium, it is a deep, urban space that exhibits a pleasing European character.

The architects' successful attempts to knit the complex into a cohesive whole while avoiding a monolithic institutional appearance are most evident along the building's southern elevation, where individual programmatic elements read as a
San Francisco Day School's richly embellished lobby (right) is the only mortuary interior left intact in the architects' three-part renovation. A new corner element housing an art studio overlooks the intersection of Masonic and Golden Gate avenues through arched tripartite windows (below). To add shelf space for the school's library, SMWM inserted a mezzanine into one of the mortuary's original chapels (opposite).

series of separate gable-ended forms, almost like a row of houses. Beginning with the corner art studio, there is an upward progression of scale along Masonic Avenue that culminates in the larger mass of the academic wing. The rooftop playground of this two-story volume is signaled on the street by a metal screen that echoes the arch-under-gable motif of the school's windows.

D. J. C.

San Francisco Day School
San Francisco
OWNER: San Francisco Day School
ARCHITECT: Simon Martin-Vegue
Winkelstein Moris—Cathy Simon, principal-in-charge; Katharine Anderson, project architect; William Bondy, project manager
ENGINEERS: Steven Tipping & Associates (structural); Leifer Engineering (mechanical); Mahony & Myer (electrical); Wilsey & Ham (civil)
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT: Richard Vignejo
GENERAL CONTRACTOR: Apersey
Construction
LIQUID SYMBOL

Centennial Fountain is Lohan Associates' symbolic salute to the 1889 reversal of the Chicago River.

A water arch across the Chicago River performs for passersby.

Lohan Associates' design for the Centennial Fountain in Chicago echoes lines in Theodore Roethke's celebrated poem "The Far Field," where he wrote of how "The river turns on itself," of "water quickening before a narrow channel/When banks converge," and of "A ripple widening from a single stone/Winding around the waters of the world."

"I wanted to symbolize the natural phenomenon of water—how it comes from one source, spreads, and goes back to another," Dirk Lohan explains. For its 1889 centennial, the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago commissioned Lohan to design a fountain, located at the point where the Chicago River and Lake Michigan converge, that would commemorate the District's highly lauded feat of reversing the river's flow. Prior to 1889, the Chicago was the city's main sewage channel, and it flowed directly into the lake. To remedy this unhealthy situation, the District dug a channel that connected the Chicago with the nearby Des Plaines River and installed a series of elaborate locks, permanently reversing the Chicago's direction. The fountain is situated within sight of those massive locks, and has a prominent place in the 40-acre Cityfront Center development that stretches along the river.

At the heart of the fountain is a raised platform, from the top of which water cascades down onto a series of stairs configured much like an amphitheater and illuminated at night by underwater spotlights. The water collects in a pool, where it rushes to opposite slots notched below Cityfront Center's pedestrian walkway. Beneath the walkway surface, the fountain's own system of miniature locks and pumps prepares the water for a cannon that shoots a dramatic 80-foot-high arch across the river. An observation deck, marked by a simple railing, is set back several feet from the curtain of water flowing off the main platform. Here visitors view the city skyline through the blurred haze of falling water, while bronze plaques tell the story of the engineering marvel that occurred at this juncture in the river. Visitors can also view the fountain from a more static point, a series of steps that fans down from the walkway. Every hour on the hour for 10 minutes, the water arch reaches nearly three-quarters of the way across the 220-foot-wide river.

ESPLANADE PARK
SECTION THROUGH CHICAGO RIVER LOOKING WEST
CENTENNIAL FOUNTAIN

MARCH 199
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In its projectory, the arch points a decidedly wet finger at Illinois Center, the 20-year-old development of office buildings and hotels that was meant to revitalize the river edge. The dense, and some think too austere, project failed to attract pedestrians to the water’s edge; the arch, by contrast, generates a sense of animation and reaction on that otherwise staid bank of the river. As a result, Illinois Center now boasts a well-attended outdoor sculpture park, lush landscaping, and many of the furnishings—aqua-painted steel light towers, patterned paving, and elaborate railings—that are endemic to the Cityfront Center pedestrian walkway across the river.

Even in a city with many architectural and artistic monuments vying for recognition as metropolitan icons—the Picasso sculpture at the Civic Center, the Chagall mosaics, the Wrigley Building, John Hancock Center, the Sears Tower—Centennial Fountain has a good chance of remaining an especially resonant and much-visited landmark. Clad in dark Vermont granite, it is sited on a slight promontory at the riverfront terminus of McClurg Court Boulevard, the chief vehicular and pedestrian route into the residential component of Cityfront Center. The fountain and its arch are visible from Michigan Avenue and Lake Shore Drive, and a slight spray of water when one passes too close on one of the city’s typically windy days makes for a memorable moment.

DAVID MASELLO

**Centennial Fountain**  
_**Chicago**_

**OWNER:** Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago  
**ARCHITECT:** Lohan Associates—Dirk Lohan, principal-in-charge; Joe Caprile, project manager; John Arnold, project designer; Len Koroski, project architect  
**ENGINEERS:** Gaetlin & Reckers (structural); Environmental Systems Design (mechanical)  
**CONSULTANT:** CMS Collaborative (fountain consultant)  
**GENERAL CONTRACTOR:** Blinderman Construction Company

David Masello is a freelance writer from New York City.
YOU'LL FIND SUNBRELLA® HANGING AROUND ALL THE BEST PLACES.

The Phoenician Resort, Scottsdale, Arizona
TAMING THE BEHEMOTH

Too big, too blank, too bumptious, convention centers have been the bully on the block. A new generation works to reconcile bottom-line issues with broader civic concerns.

As makers and vendors of goods ranging from machine tools to instant mousse discover they can reach the self-selected sales prospects attending a convention or trade show for little more than half the cost of making individual contacts, they not surprisingly have become increasingly avid consumers of convention centers’ exhibit space. According to the latest Trade Show Bureau report, the demand for such space compound by an average of 8.4 percent annually from 1980 to 1987 and is expected to grow at the same rate through the ‘90s, fueled by a projected doubling of both the number of events that book exhibit facilities and the total area each requires.

At the same time, exhibit space is being added at the similar annual rate of 8.0 percent ($2 billion in construction over the last 10 years), again with no end in sight. It is hard to think of a major (or not-so-major) city that is not building or expanding or upgrading a convention center, in the expectation of bringing in “clean dollars”—for hotel rooms and meals, shoe shines and souvenirs—to cycle through the local economy and swell the local coffers.

With supply and demand expanding in step, cities are meeting intense competition for a share of the booming business. Late ly, the resulting pursuit of distinctive assets that will hone a market edge is leading to recognition of the convention center’s various clienteles and the need to balance their sometimes conflicting agendas.

Since the building type emerged in the ‘60s and ‘70s, convention-center planning has been driven by functional and technical parameters established by the exhibitors and exhibit managers who are direct customers. (Most exhibits, including trade shows, are sponsored by associations, but organized by profit-making specialists.) Now planners are also concerning themselves with enhancing the experiences of more immediate users—the captive audience of convention delegates or trade-show attendees—and finally with the broad concerns of the public constituency who as taxpayers are the center’s true owners.

From the exhibitors’ vantage, convention-center planning has moved from an early preoccupation with the technical challenge of building and servicing vast clear expanses for exhibit areas toward ever more stringent functional and support requirements. The aim is space that is not only neutral—a bare but well-equipped stage for exhibitor’s wares—but universal, extending flexibility within the individual center to interchangeability with competing facilities. Both attributes also help the show manager make the most of a limited run by minimizing the time consumed in setting up and striking exhibits—a goal shared by convention-center operators intent on minimizing “down time.” Their ideal of optimum space use would be a permanent three-ring circus; the next best, a continuous cycling of concurrent events, is approached by subdividing the huge exhibit spaces into separate self-contained units.

Although the exhibit halls dominate convention facilities by sheer bulk, the development of codified strictures governing their design is being accompanied by a new look at the “softer” spaces where people, whose presence occasions any exhibit, spend much of their time. Meeting rooms, for example, are paramount for conventions and conferences, which remain the centers’ prime customers. Still flexible, still subdivisible, they are also being varied in size and configuration to avoid the clumsiest compromises imposed by conflicting uses. Some, like ballrooms, may be dedicated: designed for assemblies and banquets but not also, as in many early centers, as spillover space tied to the exhibit area.

The most striking changes, however, occur in public spaces—the lobby, circulation, and prefunction areas once confined to the minimum prescribed by occupancy codes. A frequent arena of competition among centers is the entry lobby, which is transmuting into a celebratory reception hall intended to leave a memorable image. Often, the welcoming open space is also large enough to bring the bonus of quickly conveying the building’s organizational pattern and major circulation paths. To reinforce the sense of orientation, signage and graphics are being amplified by “landmarks,” which are coming to include not only interior features but glimpses of natural light or outdoor vistas that until recently were anathema in the rigorously internalized world of the convention center.

This breaching of the border exemplifies the overtures newer facilities are making to their surroundings, in recognition of the underlying anomaly of a public building largely reserved for private use. The economic benefits a successful center bestows are invisible to local residents; the center itself is all too conspicuous. Usually sited close to downtown hotels and other attractions, they may rip several square blocks from the urban fabric. Even when intended to revitalize the area they enter, the hermetic bulk and increased traffic—including heavy truck movement—are inhospitable to many types of neighboring development.

In recompense, a growing sensitivity to their shared boundaries is evidenced by efforts to disguise the center’s endless facades and reduce its forbidding scale often by buffering the exhibit hall with lower, better articulated, and more permeable public spaces at street level. A few go farther, opening the building not only visually but actually, and inviting its public owners to survey—even enjoy—the premises.

Margaret Gaskie
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BRIDGING AN EVOLVING CITY CORE

A public passage joins commerce and culture.

San Jose Convention Center
San Jose, California
MGA Partners, Architects

A generation after the heyday of urban renewal, San Jose's intensive redevelopment effort is raising from the ghost of a near-defunct downtown a lively capital amid the sprawl of Silicon Valley. Its most ambitious direct undertaking is the 1.1-million-square-foot convention center, which establishes an actual and symbolic bridge between the burgeoning business district and the coming attractions— theater complex, children's and technology museums, parks—of a new development precinct reaching west to the Guadalupe River.

Thanks to the city's call for public areas well beyond those strictly required by its 165,000 square feet of exhibition space, the linkage is eloquently embodied in a 1,000-foot-long circulation spine at the front of the center. Forcefully drawn in exposed concrete and curtained in glass, the frons-tispiece encloses two pedestrian levels roofed by intersecting half vaults at a height of 47 feet. The lower level, with entrances at each end, is a public arcade eventually to be furnished with shops and kiosks but even now animated by overhead mezzanines and bridges and bathed in luminous natural light. The concourse above provides airy lobbies and lounges for both the three large halls that make up the exhibit area and the meeting rooms at each end of the building. The two-block sweep is relieved at regular intervals by jogs that reflect the ascending size of the exhibit halls, as well as by the second-level bridges, which meet outside stairs whose screenlike enclosures bring scale and articulation to the long glass facade.

Because the exhibit floor is also above grade, stop two levels of parking, the upper concourse can be readily secured to isolate public traffic from convention activities. (A secondary lobby and reception area off the west entrance allow similar seclusion for concurrent events.)

The expansive interior esplanade, the key to the center's integration with the city, gains in importance with the downplaying of the equally striking exterior. Although San Jose assembled an ample four-block site, its northern edge was in effect
With the public arcade at ground level, the esplanade's second level is an anteroom that opens directly to the three-part, 165,000-square-foot exhibit hall. Approached via broad staircases flanking the main reception area (bottom opposite) immediately inside the entrance hall, the upper concourse is broken by lounges and bridges that cross to outside stairs. On the exterior, the concrete stair enclosures (left) become adjuncts of the forthright structural frame.
eliminated by a large and lumpish but politically sacrosanct existing library, relegating the center to midblock. When two hotels slated for the north corners of the site are completed (one, happily, is being designed by MGA Partners), the gallery’s full outer facade will be obscured from all but close vantages.

The architect’s riposte was to reassert a strong street presence by introducing the negative space of a public plaza defined by the library on the west and the planned hotel on the east, and reinforced by the Mission-style civic auditorium complex across the street. A restrained plain of gentle pools and fountains in an alley of big bluestone spheres, the forecourt explodes into a ceremonial portal dramatized by artist Lin Utzon’s brilliant porcelain-tile mural. The processional continues with a projecting half-vaulted entry hall that introduces the center’s main reception area.

All but invisible from pedestrian level, the formidable bulk of the exhibit space is also buffered on the side streets, where the gallery’s intricate framing and doubled arces give way to colorful two-story stucco faces brightened by balconied terraces off the meeting rooms.

M. F. G.

San Jose Convention Center
San Jose, California

OWNER: San Jose Redevelopment
Agency—Frank Taylor, executive director; Tom Aidala, chief architect

ARCHITECT: MGA Partners—Lauren Mallas, partner-in-charge; Robert Shuman, project architect; Fred Foote, Romaldo Giurgola, Larry McEwan, Dan Lau, Brett Crawford, Kirby Mehrhof, Michael Levin, Michael Avis, Don Morales, design team


The Steinberg Group—Goodwin Steinberg, Rob Steinberg, Don Mariano

ENGINEERS: Leslie E. Robertson Associates (structural); Syska & Hennessy (mechanical/electrical); Creegan & D’Angelo (civil)

CONSULTANTS: Wilson Herz & Associates (acoustics); S. Leonard Auerbach & Associates (lighting); Rolf Jensen & Associates (safety); Travers Associates (traffic/parking); Electronic Systems Associates (security); Fred Schmid Associates (food service); Paolelli & Lewitz (A/V); RB Systems (sound); Lin Utzon (art)

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT: Royeton
Hanamoto Alley & Abey

GENERAL CONTRACTOR: Blount Brothers Construction
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ANCHORING A BUSTLING WATERFRONT

An approachable center stars at harborside.

Sydney Convention Center
Sydney, Australia
John Andrews International, Architect

Viewed from the business district on the opposite side of Darling Harbor, the invitingly transparent face of Sydney’s new convention center reflects its leading role in an emergent “leisure area”—the product of an ambitious waterfront redevelopment scheme that also includes a park and a “festival market” à la Rouse, as well as an immense exhibition facility. Nor is the invitation misleading: the center’s ground floor extends a terraced public restaurant and bar/lounge to merge with the broad promenade that skirts the harbor from market to park, dodging through a forest of columns carrying an elevated freeway across the complex.

The essential connection, however, is with the jointly operated existing exhibit hall, whose proximity allowed the convention center itself to be dedicated to meeting and assembly facilities, uncompromised by the quite different structural and spatial requirements of large-scale exhibits. Tuned instead to the demands of the 3,500-seat plenary hall at its core, the new center assumes the form of a nautilus shaped by the large semicircular enclosure around the amphitheater and a smaller half-circle of meeting rooms set above the ground-level public spaces at harborside. Between the two lies a pedestrian circulation spine that joins an entrance forecourt—shared by the market on the north—with the exhibit hall on the south.

Despite the open welcome it extends at the waterfront, the exposed concrete structure is assertive enough to hold its own against the competing mass of the freeway. The opaque bulk of the larger segment backs against the freeway’s curve at the rear of the site, presenting a road-level roofscape of ceramic-tile-clad wedges between massive radiating trusses. Around the perimeter, eight exterior stair towers anchor the structure with bold cylinders animated by glinting spirals of glass block beneath the solid caps of mechanical rooms. At the front the building subtly accedes to its intrusive neighbor by picking up the scale and rhythm of the freeway columns in the much-refined form.
of a portico across the glazed arc that faces the lively harbor scene.

To accommodate small conference-related exhibits as well as banquets and community events, the ground floor beneath the plenary hall is given over to a divisible 64,500-square-foot clear space directly off the main foyer. Escalators carry conventiongoers to spacious upper lobbies that serve meeting rooms distributed on three levels of the forward half-circle and around the building's rear periphery, under the raked of the plenary hall. From these prefunction areas, as from the more public facilities, the waterfront and its panoramas are a constant presence amplified by the sweeping walls of glass. Even usually hermetic interiors receive natural light from high strips of glass block that band the amphitheater's half-drum, alternating with precast panels.

Augmenting the flexibility provided by the smaller meeting spaces, the plenary hall itself can be divided by operable walls, coordinated with its radial beams, into three segments made self-sufficient by a sectional stage and independent access.
Behind the center's transparent outer segment, the 3,500-seat plenary hall occupies a larger opaque semicircle pinned by cylindrical stair towers. Introduced by elegant prefunction spaces like the upper lobby (right), the amphitheater divides along its radial roof beams to provide up to three smaller self-contained spaces.

and servicing. The semicircular plan and 120-foot seating radius that minimize the hall's structural spans also assure comfortable viewing distances, while absorptive wall and ceiling treatments maintain acoustic quality throughout, despite variations in audience capacity.

M. F. G.

Sydney Convention Center
Sydney, Australia
OWNER: Darling Harbor Authority
ARCHITECTS: John Andrews
International—John Andrews, Arthur Robb, design; Warwick Werner, Bruce Lincoln, documentation and administration
ENGINEERS: Miller, Milston & Ferris (structural); Addicott Hogarth Wilson (mechanical); Julius Poole & Gibson (electrical); Smith, Temple, Paul and Partners (hydraulic)
INTERIOR DESIGN: John Andrews
International in association with Forbes & Harris Design Consultants
PROJECT MANAGERS: Leighton Contractors
BUILDERS: Boulderstone Hornibrook
SPECIALIZING IN READY VERSATILITY

A multiuse center offers two facilities in one.

Adelaide Convention Center
Adelaide, Australia
John Andrews International, Architect

Modest in size but ambitious in aim, Adelaide’s new convention center earns its prominent place in a major redevelopment project by accommodating a broad array of attractions—from tennis to concerts—as well as conventions. Like many other halls, it can be subdivided for gatherings of varying size; uniquely, any or all of the divisions can be transformed from an auditorium with tiered seating to a flat-floored area for exhibitions or banquets.

The flexible multiuse center joins a hotel, office building, and connecting entry plaza (also designed by John Andrews) in a complex that spans the tracks of a historic, now-rejuvenated railroad station next door. In addition to recapturing a lakefront which the tracks had split off from the city’s core, the scheme reaches out to such complementary near neighbors as an exhibition hall and an arts center.

Despite its low profile, the convention center holds its own against the much taller buildings through its pivotal position as well as the strong modeling of its interlocking geometric forms. The dominant figure is a cluster of six octagons, four of which define the main hall. Two more on the cross axis contain meeting rooms and the main entry lobby. Between the modules, rectangular inserts encase connecting secondary foyers, topped by mechanical rooms that rise prominently above a rooftop intersected by service vaults. The outriding cylinders hold toilets and stairs. The sculpted forms are unified by a skin of pink-beige precast concrete panels that add the mass necessary for acoustic isolation—and also lend visual weight.

Inside, the closed environment of the central hall is relieved by full-height glazing, balconies, and bridges at the secondary foyers. With circulation and services at the periphery, the five smaller rooms created when the main space is divided can be fully self-contained. When it is converted by hoisting the raked seating clear of the floor, the soffits of the hinged platforms provide instant lighting, air conditioning, and sound systems integrated into a wood-paneled false ceiling.

M. F. G.
Oriented outward to a lakefront park (opposite) as well as inward to a plaza forecourt, Adelaide's center hosts a variety of events in a hall that can be converted from a 3,500-seat raked auditorium (left) to a flat-floored banquet/exhibit space (above) by raising the hinged seating platforms.
DECORATING THE DECO DISTRICT

Miami Beach's center is a one-building revival.

Miami Beach Convention Center Expansion
Miami Beach, Florida
Thompson, Ventulett, Stainback & Associates, Architects

From the heady pinnacle of renown it reached in 1972, when it hosted both the Republican and Democratic national conventions, the convention center at Miami Beach has declined in tandem with the city's sagging popularity as a resort. The just-completed renovation and expansion, which doubles the building's size, is a confident bid to recapture its former preeminence in the meeting market—and boost the fortunes of the city in the bargain.

The addition essentially mirrors the existing center, with which it combines to create a four-part, 500,000-square-foot exhibition hall surrounded by meeting rooms, service facilities, and public areas that bring the total project to 1 million square feet. The original facility addressed an ad hoc civic enclave including the city hall, a theater, and a multiuse community center. Now, the more critical juncture with the city occurs at the 1,000-foot frontage which borders the newly renascent Deco district and parallels the beachfront.

The meeting is effected with a finesse that belies the center's overweening size, disguising it with an articulated facade scaled and sculpted to complement the lighthearted community it joins. A potentially distancing 26-foot setback required by code is turned to advantage by treating the long building face as a transparent wall veiled by an exuberant confection of shaded terraces and punctured screens. In addition to creating a filigree of light and shade, the layering carries the facade forward to the sidewalk, establishing a lively streetscape that responds to both the functions inside the center and the features of the neighborhood—a linkage strengthened by lush plantings and a palette grading from the gleaming white of the facade's outer surfaces to deepening tones of apricot in its recesses. At the center of the building, a skylit restaurant and the terminus of a major street are mutually celebrated by a wedding-cake brise-soleil rising to an open-framed turret, while less elaborate screens and canopies on either
Fanciful false-front brise-soleils and deeply recessed terraces articulate a spirited street facade that attunes the Miami Beach Convention Center's public posture to the adjacent Deco district and nearby beachfront.
side frame entrances to the paired exhibition halls. The angular projections announcing major meeting spaces at either end again coincide with east-west streets.

The center's "Tropical Deco" sympathies are equally marked in the lobby concourse, where the outer screen becomes a transparent grid overlooking the city. Abundant but controlled natural light, a welcome respite from sealed interiors, throws into relief an oasis of stucco and terrazzo, foliage and fountains, portholes and perforated valances, and everywhere swathes of vivid and muted color that echo the play of sun and shadow.  

M. F. G.

Miami Beach Convention Center
Expansion
Miami Beach, Florida
OWNER: City of Miami Beach
ARCHITECT: Thompson, Ventulett, Stainback & Associates, Inc.—Thomas W. Ventulett, Jr., director of design; C. Andrew McLean, partner-in-charge; Jack Plazas, Paula Stafford-Cloutier, Jim Ward, designers
ASSOCIATED ARCHITECT: Borelli, Frankel, Blitstein—Jaime Borelli, Marcus Frankel, Peter Blitstein, principals-in-charge
ENGINEERS: DeZarraga, Donnell and Duquesne (structural); A. Epstein and Sons International (mechanical/electrical/plumbing)
CONSULTANTS: Joint venture of TVS & Associates/BFB (interiors); S. W. A. Group (landscape); Cint-Little Associates (food service); Schirmer Engineering Corp. (fire protection)
GENERAL CONTRACTOR: George Hyman Construction Company

Though shaded, the facade's window grid floods the lobby's Deco details with natural light. Restaurants are located at the midpoints of the upper lobby (top right and opposite) and the crosswalk over the exhibit hall.
INTRODUCING ANTRON

FOR CARPETS THAT CLEAN UP EASILY SPILL AFTER SPILL.
SHADOW FREE

New compact fluorescent lamps and an even-newer indirect lighting scheme meet IES ceiling luminance values.

A team effort, lighting the skylit, VDT-intensive spaces of Steelcase's new Corporate Development Center near Grand Rapids produced an innovative point-source indirect installation that meets the even ceiling luminance values recommended by new IES guidelines. The building, a 575,000-sq-ft, seven-story-high pyramid, has 50,000 sq ft of sloped and vertical glass that admits natural light into the primarily open-plan office areas. The skylights have patterned frit glass, reflective coatings, and special interlayers that limit glare and light transmission, creating a luminous, loftlike environment.

Steelcase, represented on the lighting team by Wayne Pierce, Randy Wilda, and John Ziech, retained Gary Steffy Lighting Design, Inc., of Grand Rapids, to develop luminaire performance and design criteria that would promote the health of the employees, foster productivity, and maximize human comfort—goals dear to the client's heart.

These guidelines stressed the importance of color consistency among the ambient, task, wall, and infill lighting sources, and the maintenance of these values over time. Working with psychological and ergonomic studies suggesting that diffuse ambient light provides a lower-stress office environment, the group aimed to meet current IES recommendations with about 30 footcandles at the work surface, without task-obscuring shadows. The lighting also had to balance the brightness ratio between the skylight and window areas and the workstations. Fluorescent lighting, specifically the then-new GE BX compact sources, was selected over incandescent and HID types as best meeting this particular criteria with a lumen output that would need a low-clutter minimum of fixtures.

The extensive use of computers in the CDC (every desk has a terminal) mandated such VDT-related lighting considerations as the elimination of glare and veiling reflections, ease of visual focusing, and flexibility in the location and angle of the screen itself.

Given the lavish use of open-plan space, a 10-ft finished ceiling height, and the desire to meet a broad range of task requirements with the most efficient fixture placement, a ceiling-suspended indirect solution was selected from the beginning. Aiming for a human scale in the fixtures, architect Donald Koster of The WBDC Group established that a circular shape would work better with the building's geometry, and would not produce a distracting, closed-in overhead plane. Design criteria specified a spacing module of 12 ft to work with companion luminaires mounted on the 36-ft-on-center structural columns in a typical bay. Infill lighting would be used to delineate the stepped sidewalls of the skylight bays.

Peerless Lighting Corporation, of Berkeley, Calif., a manufacturer committed to indirect lighting, was asked to develop an optical system that would provide the most efficient luminance and spacing for the pendant. Steelcase's John Ziech was responsible for styling the housing, code-named MD33 for its 33-in. maximum diameter. Two same-size wood models were constructed by Steelcase, evaluated...
for scale and shape in a mock-up of a typical CDC private office, and produced in prototype by Peerless. And a 15-in.-dia sconce to light circulation areas was added to the program. Slot fixtures, with baffles painted to match the building’s exterior, provide wall-wash illumination in private offices and conference rooms. The Lyra task light was developed independently by The Steelcase Industrial Design Group. All luminaires use new compact fluorescent sources with a color rendition of 3,500 K. Incandescent lighting is used in the office areas only to accent art hung on interior walls.

Ceiling finish was important in obtaining the widest possible beam spread and reflectance values. Noise control required 1 1/2-in. of fiberglass pad insulation on the ceiling, and the team originally considered a shiny white vinyl facing. But the most effective surface, oddly enough, turned out to be a nubby-textured spectrally flat white, which reflected 75 percent of the lumens from the fixture with no hot spots.

The custom pendant developed for the CDC is available as a standard fixture from Peerless, under license from Steelcase. Post-occupancy evaluations of the lighting by CDC employees are enthusiastic: like Punxsutawney Phil this year, they can’t see their shadows.  

JOAN F. BLATTERMAN

Corporate Development Center,  
Steelcase Inc.,  
Gaines Township, Michigan  
ARCHITECT: The WBDC Group, Architect  
LIGHTING DESIGN: Steelcase Inc.; Gary  
 Steffy Lighting Design, Inc.; The WBDC  
Group; Peerless Lighting Corporation

Photo (overleaf) shows the integration of day- and electric-lighting, with two rows of linear fluorescents defining the structure of the setbacks. A conference room (top left) achieves a ceiling luminance ratio of 5:1, with 40 fc on the conference table. The Lyra task light is shown on a Context workstation (left). Sconces that match the esthetic of the pendant illuminate the corridor (above).
The lensed-indirect pendant is made of polyester-coated spun steel, with die-formed reflectors in white steel and specular aluminum. The optical lens is acrylic, extruded in a CAD-generated prismatic pattern specific to the 24-in. drop. A sandblasted, etched, and patterned slumped-glass diffuser supported by a decorative die-cast ring provides a sense of light, illuminated only by the light bounced from the ceiling directly over the fixture. The four linear compact sources illuminate at 72 percent efficiencies. Plan (above) locates the four F39BX fluorescent lamps within the housing; the interior circle identifies the glass diffuser. The section diagram (top) illustrates the photometric distribution achieved by the fixture. A ceiling plan (right) highlights the clean look of the open-bay ceilings.

UNDER THE LIGHTS

Comparative field study examines the lighting preferences of VDT users.

A just-completed survey asked office workers what they think of two state-of-the-art lighting systems: recessed parabolic downlighting (PBL) and ceiling-suspended, lensed-indirect uplighting (LIL). Conducted by a team from the Department of Design and Environmental Analysis of Cornell University’s College of Human Ecology, the study evaluated the impact of both techniques on VDT-intensive work performed in spaces otherwise similar. Though intended primarily to elicit attitudes toward office lighting, the 28-item questionnaire also asked about personal and job-related data, work-related health problems, and job satisfaction and stress. The survey site was a single-story, mostly windowless office building divided into interior open-plan partitioned areas, 10- by 15-ft windowed, enclosed offices, and 10- by 10- and 15-by 15-ft windowless enclosed offices. A “before” survey established a baseline for thermal and visual comfort of workers in each type of office space, and took physical measurements of light levels from the existing fluorescent troffers, recessed into the 9-ft. 6-in. ceiling and covered with a prismatic plastic diffuser. Following the remodeling of the entire office with new furniture and wall finishes, an almost identical “after” questionnaire was completed by employees matched with their “before” location and function. The sample characteristics of the two groups, now lit by either LIL or PBL systems, were generally similar as to gender, age, and time spent on VDT equipment.

Statistical analysis of the results showed ratings significantly better for the LIL than the PBL groups for how well the office lighting supported reading/writing and drafting on the computer. There were no major differences, however, between the lighting systems when applied to tasks on paper, which is easy to re-orient for more comfortable viewing. Also, many of the workers in the directly lit areas had already modified the lighting and moved their furniture, space permitting, to a position that helped to reduce glare. Subjective views on the lighting—dim vs. bright, comfortable vs. uncomfortable, relaxed vs. tense, etc., as well as the incidence of physical symptoms such as tired eyes and backache, also showed preference for the indirect system. But both new lighting installations scored better than the old lay-in diffusers.

J. F. B.
HOW VULCRAFT'S
BUILD A MILE HIGH C

[Image of a construction site with high-rise buildings in the background]
Go crazy with colorful Kraton® SBS modifieds!

If you’re nuts about color, high performance Kraton® SBS modified asphalt roofing products are going to drive you stark-raving mad! They’re available in a fantastic variety of colors from leading roofing materials manufacturers, so you get to pick the colors that are right for your low-sloped rooftops. And you get the performance you want at almost one-tenth the weight of ballasted EPDM for even greater design flexibility.

Get in touch with the reality of Kraton SBS, America’s fastest growing asphalt modifier, by calling us at 1-800-323-3405. Or, write: Shell Chemical Company, Manager, Elastomers Communications, One Shell Plaza, P.O. Box 2463, Houston, Texas 77252.

Shell Chemical Company

Shell Chemical Company manufactures KRATON® rubber; the company does not manufacture roofing products. It makes no warranty, expressed or implied, that the manufactured roofing products shall conform to any minimum performance specifications.
Although the main topic of discussion at WestWeek will probably be mergers and acquisitions, some comment will surely be reserved for designs, including these.

1. **Multidirectional**
   Verticase frameless wood units have concealed ganging attachments that allow components to stack onto each other. Executive Office Concepts. *Circle 300*

2. **Sinuous seating**
   Enrico Franzolini's sidechair of curved beechwood has backward-curving cantilevered armrests. KnollStudio. *Circle 301*

3. **Acoustic texture**
   A new ceiling tile, Embassy has an acanthus motif set within an octagon. Armstrong Contract Interiors. *Circle 302*

4. **Pendant and bracket**
   Called Utopia, Ernesto Gismondi's lights have sanded-glass diffusers. Artemide. *Circle 303*

5. **Mosaic-patterned damask**
   A multiweave of cotton and wool, Ravenna was designed by Suzanne Tick with the patterns and jewel-tones of Renaissance Italy. Brickel Associates. *Circle 304*

6. **Cubist rug**
   Trombone is tufted and carved in wool, and can be made to any size and coloration. Schumacher. *Circle 306*

7. **Guest chair**
   The Chatham side chair, with its expressive wood frame, is part of Brian Kane's seating collection. Bernhardt. *Circle 307*

8. **Spanish alabaster**
   Gary Cross's Cirrus light now comes as a table lamp, with a base in black, white, or chrome. Boyd Lighting. *Circle 308*

9. **Slim seating**
   The D/3 chair uses elastic webbing to provide resilience and back support. Finishes include mirror chrome, hammered pewter, and paint. Veet. *Circle 309*

10. **Fully upholstered**
    Designed by Roger Kraft, the Delphi chair rolls up to the dining table on concealed casters. Jack Lenor Larsen. *Circle 310*

11. **Modernist jacquard**
    From Hazel Siegel's 33-pattern collection, Regal Brocades are as densely woven as embroideries. KnollTextiles. *Circle 311*

*For more information, circle numbers indicated on Reader Service card. More products appear on page 150.*
At the Pacific Design Center March 21-23, WestWeek 90 offers a look at the forces creating the new Los Angeles.
Paint systems
Technical literature includes a color-chip card on new Spred Ultra exterior house and trim paint, an acrylic latex for professional application. A Visual Guide to Gloss lets the specifier compare the light reflectance values of coatings. The Glidden Co., Cleveland. Circle 400

Custom site furnishings
A 12-page brochure shows pedestrian shelters, kiosks, and site furnishings specifically created to complement the character of seven American cities. Furniture and structures are made of steel, wood, and high-tech plastic. Urbanco, Grand Rapids, Mich. Circle 401

Vinyl windows
A welded, multichambered sash and frame improves the structural strength and thermal efficiency of vinyl windows for new and retrofit applications. A 12-page catalog provides color photos and architectural drawings. Wolverine Technologies, Dearborn, Mich. Circle 402

Healthcare record storage
Storage cabinets, files, and retrieval systems specially designed for patient records are shown in a color brochure. Text explains customized design services for records management in hospitals and institutions. Kardex Systems, Inc., Marietta, Ohio. Circle 403

Fiber-cement siding
Clapboard and panels that will not rot or burn are said to have the appearance, installation, and paint-adhesion characteristics of wood. A brochure illustrates textures available in planks, panels, and soffits. James Hardie Building Products, Inc., Mission Viejo, Calif. Circle 404

Brick and pavers
The consistent natural coloration provided by Alberhill clays produces an architectural brick line of uniform appearance across all sizes and shapes. A six-page portfolio highlights recent brick projects. Huntington/Pacific Ceramics, Inc., Corona, Calif. Circle 405

Water fountains and coolers
A 20-page catalog highlights colorful pedestal, deck, and wall-mount fountains made of stainless steel, bronze, powder-coated aluminum, and china look Polymarble. Indoor and outdoor models are included. Haws Drinking Faucet Co., Berkeley, Calif. Circle 406

Architectural panels
Decoratively faced structural, insulating, and glazing panels are shown in a color brochure. Uses include fascia, storefronts, window infill, and curtainwalls. A new FRP-faced panel is offered for sanitary or high-abuse interior walls. Weyerhaeuser, Tacoma, Wash. Circle 407

Ecclesiastical furniture
A catalog on church seating, benches, and folding chairs also illustrates custom architectural designs for altars and pulpits. A planning brochure shows wood pews in contemporary, traditional, Gothic, and Colonial styles. New Holland Church Furniture, New Holland, Pa. Circle 408

Operable wall systems
Ceiling-hung and portable partitions are offered in three construction types, with acoustical ratings of up to 55 STC. An architectural catalog provides technical data, on-site photos, panel details, and typical wall layouts. Kwik-Wall Co., Springfield, Ill. Circle 409

Wood office furniture
The Edgewood line of desks and credenzas has been expanded with the addition of computer support furniture, task and side tables, and more versatile storage. A color catalog illustrates all components and dimensions. Stow & Davis, Kentwood, Mich. Circle 410

Wood windows
All Caradeo clad- and primed-wood windows, bays, and patio doors are shown in an 82-page 1990 catalog, which includes information on the Georgetown line of true-divided-light styles. Dimensions and details are given for all styles. Caradeo, Rantoul, Ill. Circle 411
HOW A SMALL FIRM COMPETES FOR LARGE PROJECTS

A Los Angeles architecture firm is gaining notice as a computer-driven design powerhouse.

Four phrases define the philosophy of Ross Wou International: small firm; large projects; collaboration with partner firms; computer technology. While participating in a number of major design and construction projects worldwide, the innovative firm is developing an interrelated set of operating principles that could be the shape of architecture in the future.

“We like to think of ourselves as something of a laboratory of how computers are changing the practice,” says Michael Ross, one of the principals of the Los Angeles-based firm. “We don’t have the largest number of in-house computers and we may not have the latest technologies, but we are succeeding in applying them in a highly creative, efficient manner.”

Leo S. Wou & Associates, the original firm, was founded in 1966; it became Wou International in 1978, and Ross Wou International in 1982. Since then, the 25-person firm has won a number of major contracts:

- The prime architectural contract for the 300,000-square-foot Crystal Palace Hotel in Tianjin, China.
- The master plan of the 2.8-million-square-foot Long Beach, Calif., World Trade Center in a joint venture with DMJM.
- The master plan and supervision, and design of half of the 575,000-square-foot UCLA Ambulatory Care Complex in a joint venture with MBT Associates.
- The joint venture architectural contract (with Haller & Larson) for the 1-million-square-foot AMI Presbyterian/St. Luke’s Regional Medical Center in Denver.
- The prime architectural, construction-management, and interiors contract for the 87.4-million Naval Ship Weapons Systems Engineering Station at Port Jueneue, Calif.

The architects credit computers and a lean, capable staff for some notable benefits in these projects. The AMI/St. Luke’s complex, for example, is being completed a year ahead of schedule; the detailed design was completed three months after preliminary sketches were approved. And a Pentagon budget cut required the ar-

chitects to successfully reduce the cost of the Navy facility by almost half while keeping most of the program.

Small is beautiful

Joint ventures, and the extensive use of computers to aid the design process, are not revolutionary changes in architecture. What makes Ross/Wou different?

A small staff is obviously more economical, but another intention is to obtain a higher level of communication—maintaining a studio environment even while working on large projects. It also allows Ross and Wou to keep better control of projects.

“Architects are traditionally educated to be generalists, but, at large firms, designs go from planning to design to construction departments; hardly anyone gets involved in the whole project,” observes Ross, who had earlier worked at SOM and DMJM.

“Our staff must be good in design, fluent in computer-aided design, and know about construction and management.”

“Think of a chef,” reasons Leo Wou. “He assembles all the ingredients arranged on a table, and then makes a dish. With computers and a trained staff, that is what we are able to do with a construction project.”

Because of joint ventures, Ross/Wou’s resulting foundation in computer hardware and staff training allows the firm to serve as a nerve center for coordinating the efforts of groups of architectural and construction firms. But the hardware wasn’t bought with this sole object in mind. While they are quick to point out their capabilities in designing projects and carrying them through construction, the principals have a strong background in urban planning and development, which they see furthered by their computer capabilities.

CAD infrastructure

Leo Wou is a strong proponent of computers and electronic communications, having earned a Masters of Architecture in CAD at UCLA under William Mitchell in 1983. Today, the firm’s total investment in com-
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Whether within Ross/Woo offices, or during interactions with partners and subcontractors, data security is a major concern—not in the sense of interlopers destroying data, but of the need to keep data current and to properly record changes and updates. Each project architect, working at a primary-system station, has responsibility for inputting changes. All changes must be made on a dated hard-copy before they are inputted; “otherwise, it would be like looking for a needle in a haystack” to find the source of changes or differences between schemes, according to Ross. “This aspect of the system is very rigid, but it is the only way to know for sure what is going on,” adds Wou.

Personal interaction
All of this is not to forget personal interaction. At the outset of the project, the various parties define a design-management team, with representation from clients, consultants, and partners. In repeated work sessions with the team, the final design evolves and then the computer information is updated. Each project has an executive architect, who maintains client contact, and a project architect, who oversees the design process and information management.

The AMI/St. Luke’s project represents a good demonstration of how all this fits together. Ross/Woo and Haller & Larson, the joint partners, decided to have each firm provide services at cost, and share the profits after expenses. After acceptance of the master plan by AMI, schematic design commenced in December, 1987. As in all Ross/Woo projects, the ultimate construction documents are laid out in concept on 8.5-by-11-inch cartoons, sheet by sheet. The cartoons are then marked with coded stickers for the next design stage.

The entire hospital and medical office building was laid out in detail in two months, representing 70 percent of the contract requirement and was submitted for review on February 2, 1988. A variety of reviews and reassessments were made by the doctors during the next five months, and the plan was approved with few changes on July 1, 1988. Final schematics were completed one month later.

On August 28, AMI authorized the joint venture to begin design development/construction documents, consisting of over 250 sheets with detailed schedules and specifications including equipment, which were finished in three months. These sheets were used for guaranteed-maximum-price contract documents, which were submitted to general contractors for bids. The winning bid—coming in some 20 percent below the projected project cost—was from Hensel Phelps Construction Co., which signed a contract on January 2, 1989. While the budget had been $74 million, based on national square-foot costs for medical facilities, Hensel Phelps’ bid was $58 million.

The rapid generation of demolition, excavation, and foundation drawings allowed the foundation permit to be issued early; actual work began on March 9, six weeks ahead of schedule. The project contract had a cost-saving provision whereby the contractors would win 25 percent of savings made after the GMP contract was signed. Close coordination among the architects and the general contractor identified some savings, especially where subcontractors’ designs were prequalified and included in the final construction documents. The total project is now 60 percent complete. The client’s schedule has been sped up by a year. All this work occurred while existing hospital facilities in the vicinity of the project functioned unimpeded.

Future directions
Another metaphor that Leo Wou is fond of using in describing the firm is that it functions like a special-forces unit in relation to the regular Army. It has scaled-down versions of the capabilities of much larger firms; similarly, the members of the firm are adept at a variety of tasks. “When it is necessary, we can bring in the regular Army of large architectural staffs by choosing the right venture partner,” he says. However appropriate this metaphor is, it seems clear that the structure of the firm and its design processes allow its professionals to do the types of designs they are most interested in doing, in a way that they are most comfortable doing them. For the future, the firm’s principals see growing interrelationships with other firms across the country and around the world, and continuing improvement in the efficiency and cost-effectiveness of closely coordinated design and construction. The firm is also crossing a new threshold by opening its first satellite office, in Palo Alto. It’s a safe bet that the level of computer-driven communications will be high.

“The computer is creating change in how architecture should be practiced, and the profession has to adjust to this technology,” Wou concludes.
AN ACCOUNTING PACKAGE TAILORED FOR ARCHITECTS

Clerk of the Works is designed for an architectural firm active enough to employ a bookkeeper two or three times a week.

Clerk of the Works 1.0

This full-featured accounting package is unusual in two ways. It is optimized for architectural practices, and it runs on the Macintosh. Various modules include time and expenses, billing and accounts receivable, general ledger, accounts payable, and payroll. A wide range of report types is packaged with the software, and more reports are promised.

Equipment required: Macintosh Plus or larger (SE/30 or II series recommended) with fixed disk. Tape backup strongly recommended. Printing checks (for payroll or accounts payable) requires a dot-matrix Apple ImageWriter; other modules can use a LaserWriter as well. System 6.0.2 (6.0.4 for the IIGS or Mac Portable).

Vendor: Samsara, P.O. Box 222, Spring House, Pa. 19477. Phone (215) 628-3565. The full version of Clerk of the Works with all modules costs $2,495. A limited version for smaller firms will be priced based on firm size. (Interested firms should write or call Samsara.) Price includes a month of support, after which support is $1 per minute from Samsara.

Dealer and consultant support is also available; it is sure to be a bargaining point. A demonstration version is $90.

Manual: Good. The binder—a small ledger—holds a good tutorial. But make no mistake. This software is designed to be used by a professional bookkeeper or accountant, and not by an amateur. Thus, there is little guidance on accounting for the amateur in the package's documentation. The manual tells you only how to use the software itself. More advice on setting up identification numbers for employees, clients, and so forth would be appreciated.

Ease-of-use: Good. Access to various modules does not follow normal Macintosh interface standards (pulling down the Clerk of the Works menu and choosing a module from it places the module's name on the top-of-screen menu bar, where you pull down another menu), but it is generally intuitive. Choosing each command in turn from the pull-down menus will allow you to fill in on-screen forms in the proper order. Reports can be viewed on-screen for checking before printing.

Clerk of the Works can export files to the clipboard for use in other programs, such as word processing and spreadsheets. But it cannot accept clipboard files from other programs.

Installation is simple. You copy an installer program to the fixed disk, then click on it. The Clerk of the Works files are unfolded from the installer program in a form that makes them usable. Then the installer program is erased. If you do not erase it, accidentally clicking upon it will produce another copy of the software.

Error-trapping: Fair. As with many accounting packages, Clerk of the Works is unforgiving when it comes to modifying such items as the chart of accounts, identification numbers for clients and employees, and so forth. A firm that takes in another firm, in a merger at midyear, for example, will have to do some gymnastics to prepare the books for the rest of the accounting period. If you think you may have to change the fiscal year after data has been entered, delay actually posting any transactions until after you have created a final version.

On the other hand, you can start Clerk of the Works in midyear by entering existing balances. You do not have to enter all data in detail for the period between fiscal year startup and Clerk startup.

As with all good accounting software, once an expense or receipt is posted, the record containing it cannot be deleted.

After entering a screenful of data, you must press the ENTER key or click on the OK command, otherwise the data will be lost. If you try to leave a screen without doing this, you will not be prompted to save; you will have to rekey the information.

When you change an hourly rate, the old you must close a previous accounting period.

Accounts-payable file supplies credit history.
There are 24 CAD programs offered for Architects on the Macintosh. ArchiCAD has the highest price.

Very simple. It is the best.

By far.
By any criteria you choose to judge.

Try it.
See for yourself.

Ask us for a fully functional demonstration disk and manual.

 Anything less than the best wastes both your money and your time.

ArchiCAD® The dedicated CAD solution for architects, contractors, builders and interior designers.

Graphisoft®

400 Oyster Point Blvd. #517A
South San Francisco, CA 94080
Phone: (415) 266-8720 or (800) 344-3468
Fax: (415) 266-8176
©1989 Graphisoft. Macintosh is a trade mark of Apple Computer, Inc.

Circle 44 on inquiry card
You can also post general journal entries to a period that has been closed. The software takes several minutes to do so. But once an entire year is closed, no more posting is allowed. Nor will trial balances, an income statement, or a balance sheet be printed.

Break up big projects into smaller ones if compensation and billing will vary for different concurrent tasks. Each "project" in Clerk of the Works can have only one compensation method—fixed fee, percent of construction completed, or time and expenses. But you can change billing method in the middle of a project. This allows you to keep sequential phases in the same project file.

Time and expenses billing, in turn, allows itemized, total-by-phase, or total completed automatic billing. In all cases, you can add new tasks to an existing project.

Like most Macintosh software, Clerk of the Works allows reports to be sent to the clipboard. There, they can be picked up by PageMaker, the Excel spreadsheet, and various word-processing packages that can accept files from the clipboard. This is a great convenience. It allows Clerk of the Works output to be modified by other software.

It can also be a security problem. Data can be changed by other software, before a final printed report is produced.

Samsara uses an unusual copy protection scheme. After a large amount of data has been entered (typically over several months), the software begins warning the user to call Samsara for a reauthorization code, so it can continue to be used.

What type of firm should use Clerk of the Works? A firm active enough to employ a bookkeeper at least two or three days a week. Even a firm on the verge of requiring a second day's bookkeeping services may save enough labor time to justify Clerk's expense. Large firms (100 financial transactions a day or more) may need a multiterminal setup, impossible with Clerk of the Works. Very small firms may save so little time with software that it is better to handle transactions manually.

**CalComp WIZ**

An inexpensive, small (7.5- by 7.5-in. active area) digitizing tablet that comes in two versions; one for MS-DOS and PC-DOS personal computers and compatibles, and the other for the Macintosh Apple Desktop Bus, Templates that allow control of many CAD software products with WIZ are already available and are more promised. You can also reprogram an existing template with your own commands. The DOS version is a memory hog, however.

**Equipment required:** IBM PC, AT, PS/2 or compatible with mouse port or serial port. Macintosh SE or II series, with Apple Desktop Bus (ADB) port.

**Vendor:** CalComp, 2411 West La Palma Ave., Anaheim, Calif. 92801. Phone (714) 821-2000 or 1-800-CALCOMP. $249 with 6-button puck/mouse and your choice of template. $75 for stylus pen. $49 for each additional template.

**Manual:** The two manuals, one for the Mac and one for DOS, are concise. Both could use more details on defining your own templates.

**Ease-of-use:** The tablet is easy to install. The DOS version comes with a variety of cables to connect to a 9- or 25-pin serial

---

Menu for DOS version of WIZ Manager instructs user on templates.
Designing the Future...

MicroStation

From the world's leading supplier of computer-aided design solutions comes MicroStation—the first production CAD package for the PC.

MicroStation is a powerful 2D/3D CAD system with more than 500 commands and features including shading and hidden line removal. Easy to learn and easy to use.

MicroStation lets you share common data simultaneously within a network of PCs, Macintoshes, and UNIX workstations.

MicroStation offers a wide range of third-party applications and a sophisticated platform for developing your own applications.

When productivity is a requirement, let MicroStation give you the advantage—power, sophistication, and ease of use, plus worldwide product support. The promise of the future...today!

For further information on MicroStation and related products: in the U.S., call 800-345-4856; in Alabama only, call 800-345-0218; in Canada, call 416-625-2081; in other countries outside the U.S., contact an Intergraph sales office.

INTERGRAPH
port, or a mouse port. Software installs automatically, updating the CONFIG.SYS and AUTOEXEC.BAT files and saving the old version. The Mac version requires you to drag the WIZ icon into the system folder, open the Font D/A Mover, select the Desk Accessory option, and follow on-screen instructions.

It is easy to switch from one template to another as you switch software, too.

**Error-trapping:** Cable connectors can physically be installed upside-down or in the wrong place at the tablet cable sockets.

Give CalComp a lot of credit. The WIZ is an inexpensive digitizing tablet that also works in mouse mode. That is, it can be set up so that each point on the tablet corresponds to a specific point on the screen, like a digitizer. Or, the on-screen cursor can respond to movement of the WIZ "mouse" (really a digitizer puck) just as it would to a mouse.

How inexpensive? The list price is $249, but the street price is likely to be closer to $150.

The DOS version has templates available for AutoCAD 10, VersaCAD 5.4, Autodesk Animator, PageMaker, Harvard Graphics, and other software of particular interest to architects. It also works happily in a networked environment. In fact, there's a template available for Novell Netware. The AutoCAD and VersaCAD templates are well designed, too.

The drawback of the DOS version is in required memory—a whopping 74 kilobytes minimum, plus an additional 17.5K for storing screen information. The screen data can be stored on your fixed disk or in a virtual disk (in memory above the normal DOS 640K). Fixed-disk storage does slow things up.

There's no problem at all with VersaCAD/386. It leaves over 400K of regular DOS memory. That's more than enough for WIZ and for networking as well. AutoCAD 10 requires some juggling. Generic CADD and AutoSketch worked well, with the WIZ used simply as a high-resolution mouse. Neither of these low-end packages require a great deal of memory. CalComp promises a smaller memory requirement in the future.

The Mac version has none of the drawbacks. Because of the way the Mac is designed internally, the WIZ uses at most a few kilobytes. We tried it with Claris CAD, MacDraw II versions 1.0 and 1.1, and VersaCAD 2.1.

The big gain in functionality was with MacDraw and Claris CAD. With these packages and a conventional Mac mouse, you click on a menu, drag it down, select an option, drag to a dialog box, drag, drag, and drag. With WIZ, the menus are more accessible, faster. You can bypass many intermediate commands.

Using the WIZ with VersaCAD was a tossup. In normal operation, VersaCAD menu trees are short, and the mouse action is interrupted with optional dialog boxes. If you are going to use VersaCAD with a digitizing tablet, a larger active area would be better. This method allows more room for extra command buttons on the tablet surface, to match all the extra commands available with the VersaCAD software.

We prefer an 11- by 11-in. tablet for VersaCAD. A good compromise where space is tight would be an 8.5- by 11-in. surface (93.5 square inches). A Kurta tablet that size would be about $500. The WIZ active area is only 56 square inches, so not all the options can be represented—only the opening menu for each. But there is room to add 18 command sequences of your own.

The WIZ certainly beats the mouse for Macintosh. It is less jumpy on the screen, operates more smoothly than the standard-issue Apple mouse, and has far higher resolution—1,000 dots per inch instead of 100 to 400 for even the best mouse. Add to that its small size—not much larger than a mouse pad—and the fact that the WIZ mouse buttons can be reprogrammed for left-handers.

Steven S. Ross is a prominent computer consultant and a regular contributor to RECORD. He is past president of CCM, an educational software company in New York City, and now teaches journalism at Columbia University, where he also runs a large computing laboratory for students. He is often consulted on quality-assurance matters; his latest book, Data Exchange in MS/PC-DOS, was published in 1989 by McGraw-Hill.
Tomorrow's At Hand...

SweetSpec

Your Automated Specification Writing System

SweetSpec produces the highest quality, most comprehensive specifications.

- Text and References are updated daily by expert staff
- Based on MASTERSPEC under special license from the AIA
- Tutorial information linked to specifying questions
- Documents easily formatted for CSI, AIA, Military or your own office style

The Future Is Now.

Call the SweetSystem HelpLine:
1-800-848-9002

Free Demonstration Diskette Available
See how SweetSpec works on your system!

Sweet's/McGraw-Hill
1221 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10020
GET READY FOR THE 1990 A.R.E.!

A.R.E. HANDBOOKS OFFER COMPREHENSIVE EXAM PREPARATION

Up-to-date and factual information about the 1990 A.R.E. is available now from NCARB. If you're taking any division of the Architect Registration Examination, you'll want to add this invaluable resource to your library of preparatory materials. • Actual design solutions from last June's A.R.E. and sample A.R.E. questions for all divisions remove the unfamiliarity about the exam. Review question types and design problems you'll be required to solve. Volume 1 contains an audio-cassette of design critiques that will aid your comprehension of the graphic problem and the solutions illustrated. Understand the grading criteria as it is applied to applicants' design solutions. A graphic strategy on how to approach the 12-hour Building Design Exam provides helpful guidelines by which you can budget your time to complete all the required aspects of the design problem. • Up-to-date narratives explain how the exams are scored, how NCARB's state-of-the-art computer technology is being applied to the examination process and learn from answers to questions frequently asked by applicants. Applicants taking the A.R.E. in Canada will find useful information pertaining to them. • Users of these study materials have called the A.R.E. Handbooks an "indispensable reference for exam applicants." • Individuals with active Council records with NCARB's Intern-architect Development Program (IDP) receive a discount. • Send in your order today with your check payable to NCARB, or use your Visa, Mastercard or American Express. Be sure to indicate your active NCARB/IDP file number on your order form. (Offer does not apply to Certificate holders.) Specify a daytime address, please; we cannot ship to post office boxes.

ORDER YOUR 1990 A.R.E. HANDBOOKS FROM NCARB

Detach and mail payment to: NCARB, A.R.E. Handbooks, 1735 New York Ave. NW, Suite 700, Washington, DC 20006
Make checks payable to NCARB. Delivery takes 4-6 weeks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEMS ORDERED</th>
<th>QTY.</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990 Handbook Set</td>
<td>Regular $ 95</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intns $ 80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990 Handbook Volume 1</td>
<td>Regular $ 70</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intns $ 60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990 Handbook Volume 2</td>
<td>Regular $ 40</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intns $ 35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book Total $</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional for shipment to Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Canada $ 8.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PAID $</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cast-terrazzo tiles
Wausau is a true easy-care terrazzo, with marble, granite, or other stone aggregates set in a color-matched cement matrix. Cast in Wisconsin, the tiles are exactly dimensioned at 12-in. sq by 3/4-in. thick, and may be thin-set. Wausau Tile, Wausau, Wis. Circle 312 on reader service card

Labeled architectural doors
Pairs of fire doors up to 8-ft high achieve ratings of up to 1 1/2 hours without an overlapping astragal. Special blocking can be specified to permit screw attachment of closers, exit devices, and vertical rods for a bolt-free exterior face. Metal meeting edges are veneered; rated wireless glass lights are set in a wood beading. Algoma Hardwoods, Inc., Algoma, Wis. Circle 315 on reader service card

User-friendly carpet system
Powerbond RS (Releasable System) squares avoid such indoor air-pollution problems as emissions from adhesives and inhalation of carpet fibers. The vinyl backing contains a self-stick component for fast installation. Continuous-filament construction is said to eliminate fuzzing and shedding of the nylon fibers. Collins & Aikman Corp., Dalton, Ga. Circle 313 on reader service card

Plain-paper E-size copier
The 2520 mid-volume copier makes multiple size-for-size copies of documents as large as 36-in. wide by 54-in. long, from almost any type of original, including blue-lines, sepias, CAD plots, stats, and cut-and-tape composites. Ease-of-use features include a humidity-controlled media compartment and stands that hold input and output sheets. A roll-media cutter option automatically measures the original, then dispenses media sheets cut to size. Copy quality is said to be sharp on unsensitized bond, vellum, or film. Price: $7,495. Xerox Corp., Rochester, N.Y. Circle 316 on reader service card

Heavy-duty upholstery
A new collection, Mission gospert woven cotton upholstery, comes in three patterns (Minares is pictured) derived from Arts and Crafts motifs, each in three colorways. The abrasion-resistant fabric is 54-in. wide. Scalamandre, New York City. Circle 314 on reader service card

Highly insulating glass unit
Superglass, a one-in.-thick IG system, has an insulation value of R-8, said to be double that of the next-highest-performing glass now available. Two layers of clear Heat Mirror film divide the between-glass space into three compartments filled with an inert gas. The film itself is made of a UV blocking material, coated with a transparent metallic layer that admits light while reflecting heat and almost all UV radiation. A nonmetallic insulating spacer prevents condensation, even at the edge of the window glass. The unit is noise-attenuating, with an STC of 35. Now available as a residential glazing option from Hurd Millwork, Superglass units will be offered in sizes of up to 72 by 156-in. Southwall Technologies, Inc., Palo Alto, Calif. Circle 317 on reader service card

Maintenance-free translucent panels
Kalwall has enhanced its sandwich-type building panel with a permanent erosion barrier exterior. A glasslike laminate absorbed into the UV-stable resin creates a smooth, homogeneous, self-cleaning weathering surface that prevents fiber bloom and degradation. Only 2 3/4-in.-thick, the panel offers a range of insulating, light-transmission, and shading values. Kalwall Corp., Manchester, N. H. Circle 318 on reader service card

More products on page 158
**BURNED OUT ON PRODUCING REPORTS?**

Could you benefit from a financial management system designed specifically for architects and engineers, that will produce your reports at the touch of a keystroke?

If The Answer Is YES
Call Now 1-800-777-WIND2

---

**ARCHITECTURAL LECTURES INCORPORATED**

Design Methods □ Landscape Design □ Building Types □ Legal □ Technical

**Subjects** reflect current trends in the design profession.
**Teachers** are selected for their expertise on specific topics.

For a free 1990 Lecture Schedule send your name, firm name and address to:

**Architectural Lectures Incorporated**
44 Montgomery Street / Suite 500 / San Francisco, CA 94104 / (415) 955-2795

---

**Architecture 1990**
Montréal
UIA XVII

*The architectural world will gather in Montreal from May 27 to June 1, 1990 for the XVII World Congress of the International Union of Architects (UIA) and its trade exhibition, Destination Solutions.*

With its theme of Cultures and Technologies, the Congress will bring together thousands of participants from five continents and offer more than 60 workshops, close to 200 lectures, new products, new trends...enhanced by the North American, yet European, ambiance of Montreal. This is a unique opportunity for you to launch your ideas throughout the world! Register now.

**Congress Secretariat UIA XVII**
C/o Société La Clé
640 St. Paul Street West, Suite 102
Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3C 1L9

**Telephone:** (514) 876-1055
(514) 393-1500

**Fax:** (514) 874-0199

Please forward me literature □ on the Congress
□ on the trade exhibition
together with registration forms.

Name:
Company:
Address:
Tel.: ( )  Fax: ( )
Manufacturer Sources

For your convenience in locating building materials and other products shown in this month's feature articles, RECORD has asked the architects to identify the products specified.

Pages 58-65
Canadian Chancery
Arthur Erickson Architects

Pages 58-63—Exterior cladding and lobby flooring: Arriscraft Corp. (Addair marble), Windows and storefront system: In-kan, Ltd.


Pages 68-69
Freedom Tower/Miami News Building
I.J. Heisenbottle Architects

Pages 84-85
San Francisco Day School
Simon Martin-Vegue Winkelstein Moris, Architect


Pages 88-91
San Francisco Waldorf School
Tanner Leddy Mayatum Stasz Architects

Pages 92-95
Centennial Fountain
Lohan Associates, Architect
Vermont granite: Baretto Granite Corp.

Pages 100-103
San Jose Convention Center
MGA Partners, Architect


Pages 104-107
Miami Beach Convention Center
Thompson, Ventulett, Stainback & Associates, Architect


Letters continued from page 4

I hope your designers will let this format evolve into something more exciting and varied without sacrificing the quiet elegance of the former design.

**TOM DOLLE**
New York City

What happened? Where did the elegantly simple and stylishly understated *Architectural Record* format go? I have for many years admired the look of this publication, which stands apart from all other publications in its graphic approach, but the new look simply seems mainstream, juvenile, and cheap. It reminds me of a slightly larger version of *Newsweek*. Nancy Butkus might design effective “consumer magazines,” but we really don’t have a consumer magazine here, do we?

It’s good to see that the architectural photography is still executed with its usual unsurpassed quality.

“Less is more.”

**DANIEL F. GRAZIANO**
Land Planning
Irvine, California

Before your redesign, the front section of *Architectural Record* was choppy and confusing. After the redesign, the front is slightly less confusing but ugly as mud.

Two things haven’t changed: the photographs are consistently wonderful, and the writing remains pompous and tortured.

**ERIC MARCUS**
San Francisco

I just received my January 1990 issue of *Architectural Record*. I was very pleased to see that fine photography still plays a prominent role in the editorial content of your magazine. The care taken in the layout and the reproduction quality are evidence of the respect your magazine has for the photography.

However, I’m puzzled by the apparent lack of respect shown the photographers themselves. The credit lines are so small that they are hard to find and read. The placement of my credit line in the gutter effectively means that a majority of your readers will not know who made the photographs of the Herman Miller/ Frank Gehry/Stanley Tigerman facility.

Since the photographs easily constitute half the page space and half the editorial content of the stories, may I be so bold as to suggest that the photographers and the writers should get equal treatment with respect to their credit lines?

**NICK MERRICK**
**HECHRICK-BLESSING**
Chicago

**Corrections**

The heading “Apple Grows at Taliesin West,” on your piece about the computer seminar at Taliesin West, was misleading.

We use Apple hardware primarily for word processing, desktop publishing, and some graphic work. For our CAD work, we use PCs. Our principal machines are Compag. Our plotters are HP 7545s and HP Laserjet IIIs.

Our CAD program is Fastead. I do all my designing with this program, as do our young apprentices. We draw elevations, sections, details, plans—from schematic design to and including contract documents. We use Microsoft Works for spread-sheets and time records.

I am 70 years old and have been using Fastead for all my work. All—except that upon occasion I enjoy picking up a pencil to turn out a sketch or a rendering.

**CHARLES MONTOTH**
TALIESIN
Spring Green, Wisconsin

The headline referred to the content of the seminar rather than the center’s use of hardware and software.

—Steve Ross

---

**Now, The Beauty & Security of PC GlassBlock® Products in Handsome Aluminum Frames**

**IBP glass block grid system™**

**WINDOWS • WALLS • SKYLIGHTS • FLOORS**

The handsome, tailored image created by the IBP Glass Block Grid System™ gives windows, skylights, walls and floors of PC GlassBlock® products a totally new look. All the advantages of glass block—controlled light and sound transmission...privacy...security...insulation value...in classic metallic or painted frames.

The IBP System™ is available in white and bronze, bright gold or bright silver aluminum alloy frames that complement interior decor. Colored sealants provide a totally coordinated look to the exterior.

These lightweight, sturdy, frames install like any other aluminum window. Then 8” square PC GlassBlock® units are inserted and sealant applied around the exterior edges of the glass block. Totally mortar-free assembly and installation are done quickly.

**Walkways, Stairways, Between-Floor Panels**

Horizontal panels employing IBP’s mortarless, brushed aluminum, modular frame system and PC GlassBlock® paver units are equally attractive from above or below. Walkways, up to 72” wide, in any length, meet code requirements for supporting specific loads.

For information call the PC GlassBlock® Products Hotline, Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern Time.

**800-992-5769**

Or contact Pittsburgh Corning Corporation, Marketing Department IBP-90, 800 Presque Isle Drive, Pittsburgh, PA 15239; Tel: (412) 327-6100; or visit the PC GlassBlock® Design Center in the Atlanta Decorative Arts Center. In Canada, write to 55 Renfrew Drive, Unit 205, Markham, Ontario L3R 8H4; Tel: (416) 222-8084.

**PITTSBURGH**
**CORNING®**
**PC**
**Corning® BUILDING PRODUCTS, INC.**
1305 Avenue H
Grand Prairie, TX 75050

PC GlassBlock®, DECORA® and DELPHI® are registered trademarks of Pittsburgh Corning Corporation

*IBP Glass Block Grid System is a trademark of the manufacturer, Innovative Building Products, Inc. (U.S. and foreign patents pending)

© 1990 Pittsburgh Corning Corporation
Continued from page 150

Electrostatic plotter
Developed to meet the CAD-plotting requirements of the larger office, Hewlett-Packard's new $45,000 Model 355 can create 36-in.-wide electrostatic drawings in 2,048 colors. All units in the 7600 line—the 355, two monochrome electrostatic plotters, and three upgraded pen plotters—use HP's new standard vector-graphics language, GL/2, and are said to offer a superior price/performance ratio. Hewlett-Packard Co., Cupertino, Calif.
Circle 319 on reader service card

No-mortar glass-block system
An alternative to masonry installation of glass-block panels of up to 85 sq ft, the Kwik'N EZ method uses clear plastic joint spacers and clear silicone sealant. The all-glass look installation is suitable for both 3 7/8-in.-thick regular series block, or Thinline (3 1/8-in.) GlassBlock units. A plastic channel aligns the block, and restrains the perimeter of the panel within its surrounding load-bearing wall. Pittsburgh Corning Corp., Pittsburgh.
Circle 320 on reader service card
Continued on page 163
ONLY ONE CELLULAR GIVES YOU THESE 310 ADVANTAGES.

1. **Just 1⅛" wide and 28 ounces light.** The one-piece CT-301 fits easily in your briefcase.

2. **1⅛ hours of talk time.** Rechargeable batteries deliver 15 hours standby—no bulky power pack.

3. **832-channel capacity.** For 25% improved calling access in expanded cellular networks.

4. **Built-in memory.** For accurate speed-dialing of up to 40 phone numbers.

5. **Cumulative call-timer.** Tracks the amount of time your phone has been in use.

6. **Electronic lock.** Restricts unauthorized use.

7. **Built to demanding specs.** For quality you can feel . . . and hear.

8. **Optional mounting kit.** Use the CT-301 in your vehicle, then slip it out and take it with you.

9. **One-year limited warranty.** Backed nationwide!

10. **No hassles!** Radio Shack handles the details of owning a CT-301. We obtain your cellular phone number and handle all initial paperwork.

310. **Now $300 off!** The CT-301 is only *$499*, or just *$20 per month**. That adds up to 310 great reasons to buy the CT-301 . . . today.

---

Put the Whole World in Your Hand

---

Radio Shack

The Technology Store

A DIVISION OF TANDY CORPORATION

Circle 74 on inquiry card
Forms + Surfaces provides a broad range of products and materials for commercial and residential applications.

Site Furniture

Doors

Hardware

Elevators

Ceilings

Walls

The options are limitless. The quality is legend. For more information contact:
Forms + Surfaces
Box 5215
Santa Barbara
CA 93150
(805) 969-7721
Fax (805) 565-1578
Circle 75 on inquiry card